

*SEMIANNUAL REPORT TO CONGRESS*

---

---

**U.S. ELECTION ASSISTANCE COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL**



---

---

*For the Period:  
April 1, 2014 Through  
September 30, 2014*

---

---

**U.S. ELECTION ASSISTANCE COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL**



1335 East West Highway – Suite 4300  
Silver Spring, MD 20910

October 31, 2014

To: Acting Executive Director, U.S. Election Assistance Commission

The Inspector General Act of 1978 (Public Law 95–452), as amended, calls for the preparation of semiannual reports to the Congress summarizing the activities of the Office of Inspector General (OIG) for the six-month periods ending each March 31st and September 30th. I am pleased to enclose the report for the period from April 1, 2014 to September 30, 2014.

The Act requires that you transmit the report to the appropriate committees of the Congress within 30 days of receipt, together with any comments you may wish to make. Comments that you might offer should be included in your management report that is required to be submitted along with the Inspector General's report.

Working together, I believe we have taken positive steps to improve Commission programs and operations.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Curtis W. Crider".

Curtis W. Crider  
Inspector General

## Table of Contents

---

	<u>Page</u>
Election Assistance Commission Profile	<u>1</u>
Office of Inspector General Profile	<u>2</u>
Audit Program	<u>3</u>
Other Activities	<u>4</u>
Peer Review Activity	<u>5</u>
Appendices	<u>7</u>

## Election Assistance Commission Profile

---

The U.S. Election Assistance Commission (EAC or Commission) is a bipartisan, independent commission consisting of four members. The Help America Vote Act of 2002 specifies that commissioners be nominated by the President on recommendations from the majority and minority leadership in the U.S. House and U.S. Senate. Once confirmed by the full Senate, commissioners may serve two consecutive terms and no more than two commissioners may belong to the same political party. There are four vacancies on the commission.

The EAC mission is to assist states with improving the administration of elections for Federal office. The EAC accomplishes this mission by providing funding, innovation, guidance and information to be used by the states to purchase voting equipment, train election personnel, and implement new election programs. The EAC has awarded over \$3 billion in funding to the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the U.S. Virgin Islands, Guam and American Samoa (hereinafter referred to as “states”). With those funds, the states have purchased voting equipment, established statewide voter registration lists, implemented provisional voting, educated voters, trained officials and poll workers, improved polling places, and recruited poll workers.

HAVA made EAC responsible for the federally run testing and certification program for voting systems. Through this program, the EAC develops standards for voting equipment, accredits laboratories, and reviews and certifies voting equipment based upon the tests performed by the accredited laboratories.

The EAC is responsible for administering the National Voter Registration Act (NVRA) by promulgating regulations for the content and use of the National Mail Voter Registration form.

## Office of Inspector General Profile

---

HAVA required the appointment of an inspector general for the EAC and amended the Inspector General Act (IG Act) of 1978 (5 U.S.C.A. App. 3) to identify the EAC as a designated Federal entity (DFE).

The OIG has always been a very small office. Other agencies have provided assistance by detailing employees; we have contracted independent CPA firms to conduct audits, and, finally, hiring permanent staff. The OIG currently has one employee the inspector general.

Despite our small size, we perform all of the duties required of the inspector general under the IG Act, including:

- Conducting and supervising audits, investigations, and other services (e.g., evaluations) relating to the programs and operations of the EAC;
- Providing leadership and coordination and recommending actions to management, which (1) promote economy, efficiency, and effectiveness in agency programs and operations; and (2) prevent and detect fraud, waste, abuse, and mismanagement of government resources; and
- Keeping the Commission, management, and Congress fully informed regarding problems and deficiencies, and the progress of corrective actions.

When conducting an investigation, we work with other Federal agencies to detail investigators or contract for investigative services.

The OIG's program to ensure economy, efficiency and integrity in the use of funds does not exclusively translate into audits of the EAC or of its grant recipients. The OIG also investigates allegations of waste, fraud, abuse and mismanagement in EAC programs and operations. The OIG operates a hotline to receive complaints regarding EAC, its programs, and its funding recipients.

## Audit Program

---

OIG has several ongoing audits that will be published in future reporting periods. These audits include:

**State Grant Audits:** The OIG conducts audits of the recipients of HAVA funds. Through those audits, the OIG examines whether the recipient used HAVA funds in accordance with HAVA and other applicable Federal requirements. We also determine whether the recipient has properly accounted for purchases made with HAVA funds and any income derived from those purchases. Last, we assess whether grant funding was maintained and accounted for in keeping with HAVA, particularly whether the recipient provided sufficient matching funds and maintained Federal monies in a separate election fund. During the reporting period, the OIG contracted with the professional auditing firm, of McBride, Lock & Associates to conduct audits of the District of Columbia, Maine, Delaware, Idaho and Oklahoma.

The audits will be completed in late 2014 or early 2015.

**Financial Statement Audit:** The EAC is required to submit audited financial statements in accordance with the Accountability of Tax Dollars Act of 2002. The OIG contracted with Brown & Company, CPAs, PLLC, an independent certified public accounting firm to conduct the fiscal year 2014 financial statement audit. The objectives of the audit are to: (1) express an opinion as to whether the EAC's financial statements are presented fairly, in all material respects, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (2) report on the entity's internal control over financial reporting and compliance with laws and regulations.

The audit is schedule for completion in November 2014.

**Federal Information Security Management:** The Federal Information Security Management Act of 2002 (FISMA) requires each federal agency to develop, document, and implement an agency-wide program to provide information

security for the information and information systems that support the operations and assets of the agency, including those provided or managed by another agency, contractor, or other source.

The review will measure EAC's compliance with FISMA requirements and will be completed in November 2014.

### Wisconsin Use of HAVA Funds (terminated)

The objectives of the audit were to determine whether Wisconsin (1) expended HAVA payments in accordance with the Act and related administrative requirements and (2) complied with the HAVA requirements for replacing punch card or lever voting machines, for appropriating a 5 percent match for requirements payments, for establishing an election fund, and for maintaining State expenditures for elections at a level not less than expended in fiscal year 2000.

The audit was terminated due to a lack of resources in the OIG and the higher priority placed on those resources by other, statutorily required, audits or reviews.

## Other Activities

---

### Reviews of Legislation, Rules, Regulations and Other Issuances

The OIG conducts regular monitoring of EAC program activities and policy-making efforts. We provide comment to significant policy statements, rulemaking and legislation that affects the EAC. During this reporting period, the EAC did not have any Commissioners and did not issue any policy determinations. The Administration issued several pieces of guidance and Executive Orders during the reporting period, which we reviewed. Last, we participated in surveys and data calls issued by the Council of Inspectors General on Integrity and Efficiency.

## Matters Referred to Prosecuting Authorities

We are reporting no activities in this category during the reporting period.

## Denial of Access to Records

We are reporting no activities in this category during the reporting period.

## Peer Review Activity

---

Section 989C of the Dodd–Frank Act contains additional semiannual reporting requirements pertaining to peer review reports. Federal Inspectors General are required to engage in peer review processes related to both their audit and investigative operations. In keeping with Section 989C, the EAC OIG is reporting the following information related to its audit peer review activities. These activities cover our role as both the reviewed and the reviewing OIG.

### Audit Peer Reviews

On a 3–year cycle, peer reviews are conducted of an OIG’s audit organization’s system of quality control in accordance with the *CIGIE Guide for Conducting External Peer Reviews of the Audit Organizations of Federal Offices of Inspector General*, based on requirements in the *Government Auditing Standards*.

During this semiannual reporting period, no peer reviews were conducted by another OIG organization on the EAC OIG and EAC OIG did not conduct a peer review on other OIGs. Listed below is information concerning peer review activities during prior reporting periods

**Peer Review of EAC OIG Audit:** In a prior reporting period, the EAC OIG was subject to a peer review. The Federal Labor Relations Authority, Office of Inspector General (FLRA OIG) conducted the review and issued its system report on July 31, 2012. In the FLRA OIG’s opinion, the system of quality control for the EAC OIG audit organization in effect for the year–ended March 31, 2012,



had been suitably designed and complied with to provide EAC OIG with reasonable assurance of performing and reporting in conformity with applicable professional standards in all material respects. The EAC OIG received a peer review rating of *pass*

## Reports Issued

---

None

## Monetary Impact of Audit Activities

---

---

Questioned Costs*	\$ 0
Potential Additional Program Funds	\$ 0
Funds to Be Put to Better Use	\$ 0
Total	\$ 0

---

---

\*Unsupported costs are included in questioned costs.

## Reports With Questioned Costs

---

<u>Category</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Questioned Costs</u>	<u>Unsupported Costs</u>
A. For which no management decision had been made by the beginning of the reporting period.	2	\$ 1,151,671	\$ 0
B. Which were issued during the reporting period.	0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Subtotals (A + B)	2	\$ 1,151,671	\$ 0
C. For which a management decision was made during the reporting period.	1	\$ 78,445	\$ 0
(i) Dollar value of recommendations that were agreed to by management.		\$ 15,057	\$ 0
(ii) Dollar value of recommendations not agreed to by management.		\$ 63,388	\$ 0
D. For which no management decision has been made by the end of the reporting period.	1	\$ 1,037,226	\$ 0

## Reports With Potential Additional Program Funds

<u>Category</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Dollar Value</u>
A. For which no management decision had been made by the beginning of the reporting period.	0	\$ 0
B. Which were issued during the reporting period.	0	\$ 0
Subtotals (A+B)	0	\$ 0
C. For which a management decision was made during the reporting period.	0	\$ 0
(i) Dollar value of recommendations that were agreed to by management.		\$ 0
(ii) Dollar value of recommendations that were not agreed to by management.		\$ 0
D. For which no management decision has been made by the end of the reporting period.	0	\$ 0

## Reports With Funds Be Put To Better Use

<u>Category</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Dollar Value</u>
A. For which no management decision had been made by the beginning of the reporting period.	1	\$ 113,345
B. Which were issued during the reporting period.	0	\$ 0
Subtotals (A+B)	1	\$113,345
C. For which a management decision was made during the reporting period.	0	\$ 0
(i) Dollar value of recommendations that were agreed to by management.		\$ 0
(ii) Dollar value of recommendations that were not agreed to by management.		\$ 0
	0	\$ 0
D. For which no management decision has been made by the end of the reporting period.		
E. Reports for which no management decision was made within six months of issuance.	1	\$ 113,345

## Summary of Reports More Than Six Months Old Pending Corrective Action at September 30, 2014

---

The following is a list of audit and evaluation reports that are more than six months with management decisions for which corrective action has not been completed. It provides report number, title, issue date, and the number of recommendations without final corrective action.

I-EV-EAC-01-07B	Assessment of the U.S. Election Assistance Commission's Program and Financial Operations, February 2008, 4 Recommendations
I-PA-EAC-01-13	U.S. Election Assistance Commission's Financial Statements for Fiscal Years 2013 and 2012, December 2013, 2 Recommendations
E-HP-VI-01-13	Election System of the Virgin Islands' Compliance with the Help America Vote Act of 2002, October 2013, 13 Recommendations

## Summary of Reports More Than Six Months Old Pending Management Decision at September 30, 2014

---

This listing includes a summary of audit and evaluation reports that were more than 6 months old on September 30, 2014 and still pending a management decision. It provides report number, title, and number of unresolved recommendations.

None.



## Reporting Requirements of the IG Act

---

<u>Section of Act</u>	<u>Requirement</u>	<u>Page</u>
Section 4(a)(2)	Review of Legislation and Regulations	4
Section 5(a)(1)	Significant Problems, Abuses, and Deficiencies	None
Section 5(a)(2)	Recommendations for Corrective Action With Respect to Significant Problems, Abuses, and Deficiencies	None
Section 5(a)(3)	Significant Recommendations From Agency's Previous Report on Which Corrective Action Has Not Been Completed	12
Section 5(a)(4)	Matters Referred to Prosecuting Authorities and Resulting Convictions	None
Section 5(a)(5)	Matters Reported to the Head of the Agency	None
Section 5(a)(6)	List of Reports Issued During the Reporting Period	7
Section 5(a)(7)	Summary of Significant Reports	3
Section 5(a)(8)	Statistical Table – Questioned Costs	9
Section 5(a)(9)	Statistical Table – Recommendations That Funds Be Put to Better Use	11
Section 5(a)(10)	Summary of Audit Reports Issued Before the Commencement of the Reporting Period for Which No Management Decision Has Been Made	None
Section 5(a)(11)	Significant Revised Management Decisions Made During the Reporting Period	None
Section 5(a)(12)	Significant Management Decisions With Which the Inspector General Is in Disagreement	None
Section 5(a)(13)	Information Described Under Section 804(b) of the Federal Financial Management Improvement Act of 1996	None
Section 5(a)(14)(A)	Peer Review Reports Conducted on U.S. Election Assistance Commission Office of Inspector General during the Reporting Period	None

<u>Section of Act</u>	<u>Requirement</u>	<u>Page</u>
Section 5(a)(14)(B)	Statement of Peer Review Conducted on the U.S. Election Assistance Commission Office of Inspector General during a Prior Reporting Period	5
Section 5(a)(15)	Outstanding Recommendations from a Peer Review Report on the U.S. Election Assistance Commission Office of Inspector General	None
Section 5(a)(16)	Peer Review Reports Conducted by the U.S. Election Assistance Commission Office of Inspector General	None

---

---

## OIG's Mission

Help to ensure efficient, effective, and transparent EAC operations and programs

---

---

## Obtaining Copies of OIG Reports

Copies of OIG reports are available on the OIG website, [www.eac.gov/inspector\\_general/](http://www.eac.gov/inspector_general/)

Copies of OIG reports can be requested by e-mail: ([eacoig@eac.gov](mailto:eacoig@eac.gov)).

Mail orders should be sent to:

U.S. Election Assistance Commission  
Office of Inspector General  
1335 East West Highway – Suite 4300  
Silver Spring, MD 20910

To order by phone: Voice: (301) 734-3104  
Fax: (301) 734-3115

---

---

## To Report Fraud, Waste and Abuse Involving the U.S. Election Assistance Commission or Help America Vote Act Funds

By Mail: U.S. Election Assistance Commission  
Office of Inspector General  
1335 East West Highway – Suite 4300  
Silver Spring, MD 20910

E-mail: [eacoig@eac.gov](mailto:eacoig@eac.gov)

OIG Hotline: 866-552-0004 (toll free)

On-Line Complaint Form: [www.eac.gov/inspector\\_general/](http://www.eac.gov/inspector_general/)  
FAX: (301) 734-3115

---

---

---

---





# Inspector General

U.S. Election Assistance Commission

This report, as well as other OIG reports and testimony, are available on the internet at:  
[www.eac.gov/inspector\\_general/](http://www.eac.gov/inspector_general/)