

## Office of Inspector General U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission

# Semiannual Report to Congress October 1, 2019 – March 31, 2020

April 30, 2020

### **Vision Statement**

We are agents of positive change striving for continuous improvements in our agency's management and program operations as well as within the Office of Inspector General.

### **Statement of Principles**

We will:

Work with the Commission and the Congress to improve program management

Maximize the positive impact and ensure the independence and objectivity of our audits, investigations, and other reviews

Use our investigations and other reviews to increase government integrity and recommend improved systems to prevent fraud, waste, and abuse

Be innovative, question existing procedures, and suggest improvements

Build relationships with program managers based on a shared commitment to improving program operations and effectiveness

Strive to continually improve the quality and usefulness of our products

Work together to address government-wide issues



#### Office of Inspector General U. S. Consumer Product Safety Commission

April 30, 2020

TO: Robert S. Adler, Acting Chairman Elliot F. Kaye, Commissioner Dana Baiocco, Commissioner Peter A. Feldman, Commissioner

FROM: Christopher W. Dentel, Inspector General

Quitel D.D.

SUBJECT: Transmittal of Semiannual Report

I am pleased to present this Semiannual Report summarizing the activities of our office for the period October 1, 2019, through March 31, 2020. The U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC or Commission) Office of Inspector General (OIG) remains committed to promoting the economy, efficiency, and effectiveness of the CPSC's programs and operations. Our audits, investigations, and other activities highlighted in this report demonstrate this ongoing commitment.

Our audit and investigative work reflects our commitment to keep Congress, the Commission, and the public fully and currently informed of our findings and recommendations regarding CPSC programs and operations in a way that is transparent to both our internal and external stakeholders. I commend and thank my hardworking team for their efforts and dedication to our important mission. I also want to thank the Commission and the CPSC's staff for their ongoing support of our office.

In addition to our work with the CPSC, the OIG continues to be involved with the Council of the Inspectors General on Integrity and Efficiency and the Council of Counsels to the Inspectors General on issues of interest to the entire OIG community.

### **Table of Contents**

| Background      |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| U.S. Consume    | J.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission5                   |  |  |  |  |
| Office of Inspe | ector General5   |  |  |  |  |
| Audit Program   | ז 7  |  |  |  |  |
| Completed       | I Reports7   |  |  |  |  |
| Ongoing P       | rojects 10   |  |  |  |  |
| Previously      | Issued Reports with Open Recommendations 12                |  |  |  |  |
| Investigative   | Program  |  |  |  |  |
| Reportable      | e Investigations 16  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Activitie | es   |  |  |  |  |
| Legislatior     | and Regulatory Review 20                                   |  |  |  |  |
| OIG Coord       | lination   |  |  |  |  |
| APPENDIX A:     | Cross Reference to Reporting Requirements of the IG Act 22 |  |  |  |  |
| APPENDIX B:     | Peer Review Activities 23                                  |  |  |  |  |
| APPENDIX C:     | Statement Regarding Plain Writing 24                       |  |  |  |  |
| APPENDIX D:     | Status of Recommendations 25                               |  |  |  |  |

### Background

#### U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission

The U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC) is an independent federal regulatory agency created in 1972, under the provisions of the Consumer Product Safety Act (Public Law 92-573), to protect the public against unreasonable risks of injuries associated with consumer products. The CPSC's mission is "Keeping Consumers Safe." Congress granted the CPSC broad authority to issue and enforce standards prescribing performance requirements, warnings, or instructions regarding the use of consumer products under the Consumer Product Safety Act and the Consumer Product Safety Improvement Act of 2008. The CPSC also regulates products covered by the Virginia Graeme Baker Pool and Spa Safety Act, the Children's Gasoline Burn Prevention Act, the Flammable Fabrics Act, the Federal Hazardous Substances Act, the Poison Prevention Packaging Act, and the Refrigerator Safety Act.

By statute, the CPSC is headed by five Commissioners appointed by the President with the advice and consent of the Senate. The Chairman of the CPSC is designated by the President as the principal executive officer of the Commission.

The CPSC's headquarters is located in Bethesda, MD. The CPSC also operates the National Product Testing and Evaluation Center in nearby Rockville, MD. The agency has field personnel throughout the country.

#### Office of Inspector General

The Office of Inspector General (OIG) is an independent office established under the provisions of the Inspector General Act of 1978 (IG Act), as amended. The CPSC OIG was established on April 9, 1989. Mr. Dentel was named Inspector General in 2004.

The IG Act was amended by the Inspector General Empowerment Act of 2016. The Inspector General Empowerment Act safeguards OIG access to agency information and mandates additional reporting to increase transparency in government operations.

The IG Act gives the Inspector General the authority and responsibility to:

- conduct and supervise audits and investigations of the CPSC's programs and operations
- provide leadership, coordination, and recommend policies for activities designed to promote economy, efficiency, and effectiveness in the administration of the CPSC's programs and operations
- prevent and detect fraud, waste, and abuse of the CPSC's programs and operations
- keep the Commissioners and the Congress fully and currently informed about problems and deficiencies relating to the administration of the CPSC's programs and operations and the need for progress or corrective action

We strive to offer actionable recommendations to increase the efficiency and effectiveness of the CPSC in its mission to protect the public against unreasonable risks of injuries associated with consumer products. We focus our available resources on high-risk areas and continuously seek ways to provide value to our stakeholders.



### Office of Inspector General

### Audit Program

During this semiannual period, the OIG completed three audits or reviews. At the end of the reporting period, six audits or reviews are ongoing.

#### **Completed Reports**

AUDIT OF THE CPSC'S FINANCIAL STATEMENTS for FY 2019 Transmitted: November 19, 2019 For the full report <u>click here</u>

The OIG contracted with CliftonLarsonAllen (CLA), LLP, an independent public accounting firm, to perform an independent audit of the CPSC's financial statements according to all current standards, for the period ended September 30, 2019. The objective of this audit is to determine whether the CPSC's financial statements present fairly the financial position of the agency and are compliant with relevant laws and regulations. The CPSC is required to submit audited financial statements in accordance with the Accountability of Tax Dollars Act of 2002, which retroactively implements the Chief Financial Officers Act of 1990 for smaller agencies, including the CPSC. This audit was performed in accordance with Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards (GAGAS).

In CLA's opinion, the financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position, net cost, changes in net position, budgetary resources, and custodial activity of the CPSC as of, and for the years ending September 30, 2019 and 2018, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. However, CLA found that the CPSC did not have a robust system of internal controls regarding leases. The lease files were incomplete in the Office of Finance because there was no formal system requiring Office of Facilities staff to provide Office of Finance staff with lease information. This lack of communication resulted in the Office of Finance not having all of the information necessary to manage CPSC leases and related transactions from a financial perspective. The communication breakdown prevented personnel from performing key roles in achieving objectives in financial reporting. Finally, the monitoring activities in this area were insufficient to identify potential errors.

CLA made three recommendations to address these issues: establish formal policy on recording leases, establish communication policies between all offices involved with facility leases and improvements, and enhance monitoring activities such as reconciliations between various accounts and evaluate these changes for effectiveness.

AUDIT OF THE CPSC'S COMPLIANCE WITH THE DIGITAL ACCOUNTABILITY AND TRANSPARENCY ACT Transmitted: October 31, 2019 For the full report <u>click here</u>

The Digital Accountability and Transparency Act (DATA Act), in part, requires federal agencies to report financial and contract data in accordance with the established government-wide financial data standards in USAspending.gov. The DATA Act also requires the Inspector General of each federal agency to review a statistically valid sample of the spending data submitted to USAspending.gov by its federal agency and to submit to Congress a publicly available report assessing the completeness, accuracy, timeliness, and quality of the data sampled and the implementation and use of the government-wide data standards by the federal agency. The scope of this audit was fiscal year FY 2019, first quarter (October 1, 2018 – December 31, 2018) data. This audit was performed in accordance with GAGAS.

Overall, we concluded that the CPSC continues to make progress in its efforts to comply with the DATA Act by performing activities consistent with the government-wide guidance issued by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and Department of the Treasury (Treasury). While progress continues, we identified minor errors with the completeness, accuracy, and timeliness of data submitted for publication. These errors have minimally affected the quality and usefulness of data. The agency closed our two recommendations from the prior audit and continues to maintain a high level of data quality. No additional recommendations were made.

EVALUATION OF CPSC'S FISMA IMPLEMENTATION FOR FY 2019

Transmitted: October 30, 2019 For the full report <u>click here</u>

The OIG contracted with Richard S. Carson & Associates, Inc. (Carson), a management consulting firm, to perform a review of the CPSC's compliance with the reporting requirements of the Federal Information Security Modernization Act (FISMA) for FY 2019. The objective of this review was to determine the effectiveness of the CPSC's information security program in accordance with the FY 2019 FISMA reporting requirements, issued by the Department of Homeland Security and OMB Memorandum M-19-02. The review was performed in accordance with Council of Inspectors General for Integrity and Efficiency (CIGIE) Quality Standards for Inspection and Evaluation (QSIE).

Carson found that the CPSC was not compliant with all of FISMA's requirements. However, the CPSC was making progress in implementing many of FISMA's requirements. Carson made 55 recommendations to improve the CPSC's information security posture.

#### **Ongoing Projects**

#### AUDIT OF THE CPSC'S FY 2020 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The OIG contracted with CliftonLarsonAllen, LLP, an independent public accounting firm, to perform an independent audit of the CPSC's financial statements according to all current standards, for the period ended September 30, 2020. The objective of this audit is to determine whether the CPSC's financial statements present fairly the financial position of the agency and are compliant with relevant laws and regulations. The CPSC is required to submit audited financial statements in accordance with the Accountability of Tax Dollars Act of 2002, which retroactively implements the Chief Financial Officers Act of 1990 for smaller agencies, including the CPSC. This audit is being performed in accordance with GAGAS.

#### AUDIT OF THE CPSC'S POOL SAFELY GRANTS PROGRAM

The OIG is auditing the CPSC's Pool Safely Grants Program (PSGP) for all grants awarded prior to September 30, 2018. The PSGP provides awardees assistance to implement enforcement and education programs to prevent the drowning and drain entrapments of children in pools and spas. The objective of this audit is to assess agency compliance with the laws and regulations that govern federal grants and the PSGP requirements, the overall effectiveness of the PSGP, and the adequacy of the agency's internal controls over the program. The audit is being performed in accordance with GAGAS.

#### AUDIT OF THE CPSC'S POSITION DESIGNATION PROCESS

The OIG is auditing the CPSC position designation process. Each covered federal position is required to have a designation level (Tier 1 through 5), depending on the sensitivity and risk level of the position. The objectives of this audit are to determine whether all positions in the CPSC are appropriately designated and whether all CPSC employees and contractors have the appropriate background investigation completed. The audit is being performed in accordance with GAGAS.

#### REVIEW OF THE CPSC'S NEISS PROGRAM

The OIG has contracted with Kearney & Company (Kearney) to review the CPSC's National Electronic Injury Surveillance System (NEISS) program. The NEISS program creates an average of 350,000 records per year. The data contained in these records can be used to raise consumer awareness of emerging product safety hazards, to support detailed studies that provide data on the number and types of injuries

associated with specific products, and to inform standards development. The objectives of this review are to determine whether the CPSC has policies and procedures in place to effectively evaluate NEISS data quality and provide adequate oversight to NEISS coordinators. Specifically, to assess how the CPSC verifies data quality in NEISS reports with respect to the dimensions of accuracy, validity, consistency, completeness, timeliness, and the fulfillment of user needs. Kearney will review NEISS data from July 1, 2013 – June 30, 2019 and related policies and procedures. The review will be conducted in accordance with CIGIE QSIE.

AUDIT OF THE OFFICE OF COMMUNICATIONS MANAGEMENT'S STRATEGIC GOALS

The OIG is auditing the CPSC's Office of Communication's Management's (OCM) strategic goals for FYs 2018 and 2019. The objectives of the audit are to assess OCM's methodology for developing key performance measures, implementing the strategic initiatives, and reporting on the results of the effectiveness of those strategic initiatives. Additionally, we will assess OCM's internal controls over the dissemination of consumer product safety information and collaboration with stakeholders. The audit is being conducted in accordance with GAGAS.

REPORT ON THE REVIEW OF THE CPSC'S COMPLIANCE WITH IPERA FOR FY 2019

The OIG contracted with Kearney to perform a review of the CPSC's compliance with the reporting requirements contained in the Improper Payments Elimination and Recovery Act (IPERA), as amended by the Improper Payments Elimination and Recovery Improvement Act of 2012, for transactions in FY 2019. The review is being performed in accordance with CIGIE QSIE. The review focuses on the CPSC's compliance with the six elements identified as criteria in the OMB M-18-20 for payment accuracy, as well as overall program internal controls.

#### Previously Issued Reports with Open Recommendations

Please see Appendix D for a consolidated list of open recommendations.

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY RISK MANAGEMENT SYSTEM INFORMATION SECURITY REVIEW REPORT Transmitted: June 5, 2012 For the full report <u>click here</u>

The objective of this review was to evaluate the application of the Risk Management Framework to the Consumer Product Safety Risk Management System (CPSRMS). The Consumer Product Safety Improvement Act of 2008 requires the CPSC to implement a publicly accessible and searchable database of consumer product incident reports called CPSRMS. The period of the review was December 2010 through February 2011 and the work was performed in accordance with CIGIE QSIE. Overall, we found there were several inconsistencies and weaknesses in the security certification and assessment of CPSRMS. There were eight consolidated recommendations associated with this report and six remain open.

OPPORTUNITIES EXIST TO ENSURE CPSC EMPLOYEES ARE SATISFYING IN GOOD FAITH THEIR JUST FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS Transmitted: September 30, 2014 For the full report <u>click here</u>

The objective was to determine whether the CPSC had established adequate internal controls over employee wage garnishments and appropriate tax withholdings. The OIG conducted a review of the CPSC's efforts to ensure its employees were satisfying their financial obligations in good faith, especially those related to federal, state, or local taxes. This review was conducted under CIGIE QSIE. We also assessed the CPSC's compliance with identified applicable laws, regulations, and court ordered judgments. We determined that the CPSC Office of Human Resources Management had not established proper oversight procedures over wage garnishments processed by their service provider, the Interior Business Center of the U.S. Department of the Interior. There were two consolidated recommendations associated with this report and both remain open.

AUDIT OF THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT PROGRAM Transmitted: September 30, 2015 For the full report <u>click here</u> The objective of this audit was to determine whether the CPSC had developed proper internal controls over its Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) program. This included assessing the adequacy of the policies and procedures to comply with the FOIA laws and regulations. We also examined fee assessments for FOIA requests processed between October 1, 2008 and September 30, 2013. The OIG conducted this audit under GAGAS. We found that although the CPSC had a functioning program, we identified several internal control weaknesses and noted that the program did not comply with certain policies and procedures mandated by the FOIA. There were 11 consolidated recommendations associated with this report and seven remain open.

CYBERSECURITY INFORMATION SHARING ACT OF 2015 REVIEW REPORT Transmitted: August 14, 2016 For the full report <u>click here</u>

The objective of this review was to determine whether the CPSC had established the policies, procedures, and practices required by the Cybersecurity Act for agency systems that contain Personally Identifiable Information. The OIG completed this work in accordance with CIGIE QSIE. During this review, we also considered whether standards for logical access were appropriate. We found the CPSC had not achieved a number of the requirements set forth in the Cybersecurity Act or developed appropriate logical access policies and procedures. There were five consolidated recommendations associated with this report and all five remain open.

REPORT ON THE PERFORMANCE AUDIT OF INTERNAL CONTROLS OVER CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATION FOR FISCAL YEAR 2016 Transmitted: July 25, 2017 For the full report <u>click here</u>

The objective of this audit was to ascertain whether the CPSC had established and implemented effective internal controls to guide its contract and acquisitions management process for its firm-fixed-price contracts and whether the contract monitoring process utilized by the CPSC adhered to applicable federal laws and regulations. The OIG contracted with Kearney to complete this audit in accordance with GAGAS. They made 14 recommendations to improve CPSC contract management and one remains open.

AUDIT OF THE TELEWORK PROGRAM FOR FISCAL YEAR 2016 Transmitted: September 29, 2017 For the full report <u>click here</u>

The objectives of this audit were to determine if the CPSC had an effective program in place to capitalize on the benefits of telework, established adequate internal controls

over telework, and administered the telework program in accordance with federal laws, regulations, guidance, and agency policy. The audit was performed in accordance with GAGAS. Overall, we found that the agency had a policy but it was not entirely effective and did not fully comply with federal laws, regulations, and agency policy. We made nine recommendations to improve the program and five remain open.

AUDIT OF THE OCCUPANT EMERGENCY PROGRAM FOR FISCAL YEAR 2017 Transmitted: June 7, 2018 For the full report <u>click here</u>

The OIG audited the CPSC's Occupant Emergency Program (OEP) in place for FY 2017. The purpose of an OEP is to reduce the threat of harm to personnel, property, and other assets within a federal facility in the event of an emergency. The objective of this audit was to determine program effectiveness and compliance with the Interagency Security Committee Guide and other criteria. The audit was performed in accordance with GAGAS. Overall, we found that the CPSC's OEP was not compliant with government-wide guidance and was not operating effectively. To improve the safety of CPSC employees we made 12 recommendations and 10 remain open.

AUDIT OF THE CPSC'S DIRECTIVES SYSTEM Transmitted: March 21, 2019 For the full report <u>click here</u>

The OIG conducted an audit of the CPSC's Directives System. The objective of this audit was to determine whether the CPSC's policies and procedures for the Directives System comply with federal regulations and procedures and are effective in helping agency staff meet the CPSC's mission. This audit was performed in accordance with GAGAS and focused on management of the CPSC Directives System prior to March 31, 2018.

Overall, we found that the CPSC's Directives System was not fully compliant with government-wide requirements, its own policies, or fully effective in helping staff to meet the CPSC's mission. We made two recommendations to improve the Directives System and one remains open.

REVIEW OF PERSONAL PROPERTY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM AND PRACTICES FOR THE CALENDAR YEAR 2017 Transmitted: May 31, 2019 For the full report <u>click here</u> The OIG contracted with Kearney to perform an assessment of the CPSC's control over personal property. The objective of this review was to obtain an independent review of the controls over personal property items, from initial data entry through routine accounting control to disposal. The review was performed in accordance with CIGIE QSIE.

Overall, Kearney found that the CPSC's Personal Property Management System and practices were neither compliant with government-wide guidance nor operating effectively. To improve the CPSC's Property Management System and processes Kearney made 25 recommendations and 23 remain open.

REPORT ON THE PENETRATION AND VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT OF CPSC'S INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS Transmitted: June 11, 2019 For the full report <u>click here</u>

The OIG contracted with Defense Point Security (DPS), a management consulting firm, to perform a penetration and vulnerability assessment of the CPSC network. The objective of this penetration test was to assess the security of the CPSC's information technology infrastructure by safely attempting to exploit security vulnerabilities. The review was performed in accordance with CIGIE QSIE.

Overall, DPS found that the CPSC had not designed its information technology infrastructure to be compliant with government-wide guidance and was not adequately secure. To improve the CPSC's information technology infrastructure DPS made 40 recommendations and 24 remain open.

### **Investigative Program**

The OIG investigates complaints and information received from CPSC's employees, other government agencies, and members of the public concerning possible violations of laws, rules, and regulations, as well as claims of mismanagement, abuse of authority, and waste of funds. The objective of this program is to maintain the integrity of the CPSC and ensure individuals of a fair, impartial, and independent investigation.

Several individuals contacted the OIG directly during the reporting period to discuss their concerns about matters involving CPSC programs and activities. During the reporting period, the OIG did not conduct any investigations involving a senior government employee where allegations of misconduct were substantiated nor did the OIG receive any actionable allegations of whistleblower retaliation. The table below summarizes the disposition of complaints and investigative work performed from October 1, 2019, through March 31, 2020.

| Investigation Status                                       | Count |
|--|-------|
| Open as of October 1, 2019                                 | 5     |
| Opened during reporting period                             | 31    |
| Closed during reporting period                             | 8     |
| Transferred to other Departments/Agencies                  | 22    |
| Referred to Department of Justice for Criminal Prosecution | 0     |
| Referred for State/Local Criminal Prosecution              | 0     |
| Total Indictments/Information from Prior Referrals         | 0     |
| Open as of March 31, 2020                                  | 6     |

In developing the above statistical table, each case was entered into the appropriate rows based on its ultimate outcome.

#### **Reportable Investigations**

**20-1** Complainant requested information on how to comply with whistleblower requirements as a small business contractor. This is outside of OIG jurisdiction and was referred to external agencies.

**20-2** Complaint alleged a tool company had reduced the payments for recalled items. Agency personnel confirmed that the actions are within the terms of the recall program and the complaint was closed.

**20-3** Complaint alleged issues with a CPSC program. This complaint is currently under investigation.

**20-4** Complaint by a county employee alleged workplace harassment. This complaint is outside of OIG jurisdiction and was referred to external agencies.

**20-5** Complaint alleged damages to household appliances. The complaint was closed due to lack of response by complainant.

**20-6** OIG was asked for an agency point of contact to identify a subject matter expert in labeling requirements. OIG referred this to agency management.

**20-7** Complaint alleged labor violations at a state level. This complaint is outside of OIG jurisdiction and was referred to an external state agency

**20-8** Complainant wanted to speak with someone about exposing whistleblowers. This complaint is outside of OIG jurisdiction and was referred to another OIG.

**20-9** Complainant wanted to speak with someone about a whistleblower complaint related to another federal agency. This complaint is outside of OIG jurisdiction and was referred to another OIG.

**20-10** Complainant requested information on regulations governing indoor amusement parks. This is outside of OIG jurisdiction and the complainant was referred to the local municipality.

**20-11** Complaint alleged lead issues in residential home rented from a Housing Authority. This is outside of OIG jurisdiction and the complaint was referred to several federal agencies.

**20-12** Complaint alleged a business partner's fraudulent activity with another government agency. This is outside of OIG jurisdiction and the complaint was referred to several federal agencies' OIGs.

**20-13** Complainant requested information on where to report a problem with a smell from a car parked near a transitional shelter. This is outside of OIG jurisdiction and the complainant was referred to local authorities.

**20-14** Complaint was referred from another federal agency. Complaint alleged issues with a consumer product that may carry a fire risk. The complaint is currently open.

**20-15** Complaint alleged a person was possibly in an agency office building without authorization. After reviewing the video, the person was identified as a CPSC employee who was authorized to be in that location and the complaint was closed.

**20-16** Complaint alleged a fraudulent bank loan. The complaint is outside of OIG jurisdiction and was referred to another federal agency OIG.

**20-17** Complaint alleged issues with a butcher's label. The complaint is outside of OIG jurisdiction and was referred to another federal agency OIG.

**20-18** Complaint alleged misconduct by a former CPSC employee. The complaint was closed as it was part of a previous complaint that had already been transferred.

**20-19** Complainant requested information on a regulation related to antique cribs and cradles. This is outside of OIG jurisdiction and it was referred to agency management.

**20-20** Complainant requested information regarding whistleblower protections for a state employee. This is outside of OIG jurisdiction and the complaint was transferred to the state OIG.

**20-21** Complainant requested information as to why a Senator could disclose the name of a whistleblower. This is outside of OIG jurisdiction and it was transferred to another OIG.

**20-22** Complaint alleged an improper contract award. Complaint had failed to exhaust administrative remedies and was informed of proper channels to resolve dispute. The complaint was closed.

**20-23** Complaint was referred from another federal agency. The complaint is currently under investigation.

**20-24** Complainant requested information regarding Veterans Affairs benefits. This complaint is outside of OIG jurisdiction and the complainant was referred to another federal agency.

**20-25** Complaint alleged wrongful termination in private employment. This complaint is outside of OIG jurisdiction and complainant was referred to state employment agency.

**20-26** Complaint alleged wrongful termination at a private company. This complaint is outside of OIG jurisdiction and complainant was referred to external agencies.

OIG Semiannual Report to Congress October 1, 2019 – March 31, 2020 (20-O-03) 18

**20-27** Complaint alleged an issue with a medical device. This complaint is outside of OIG jurisdiction and complainant was referred to another federal agency.

**20-28** Complaint alleged human trafficking. This complaint is outside of OIG jurisdiction and complainant was referred to another federal agency.

**20-29** Complaint alleged a wrongful termination. The complaint is currently under investigation.

**20-30** Complainant requested to schedule a product test. This is outside OIG jurisdiction and has been referred to agency management.

**20-31** Complaint alleged a company was selling recalled items. This is outside of OIG jurisdiction and was referred to agency management.

### **Other Activities**

#### Legislation and Regulatory Review

The OIG reviews internal and external regulations and legislation that affect the OIG specifically, or the CPSC's programs and activities, generally. The following were reviewed and commented upon during the reporting period:

Anti-Deficiency Act **Consumer Product Safety Act** Consumer Product Safety Commission Regulations Consumer Product Safety Improvement Act of 2008 Death In Custody Reporting Act Digital Accountability and Transparency Act Dr. Chris Kirkpatrick Whistleblower Protection Act of 2017 **Ethics Regulations** Federal Acquisition Regulations Federal Employee Antidiscrimination Act of 2019, H.R. 135 Federal Financial Management Improvement Act Federal Information Security Modernization Act Federal Sector Equal Employment Opportunity Complaint Processing Regulations Freedom of Information Act Good Accounting Obligation in Government Act Hatch Act Improper Payments Elimination and Recovery Improvement Act Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended Inspector General Empowerment Act of 2016 Office of Management and Budget Circulars and Memoranda Payment Integrity Information Act Public Disclosure of Information 15 U.S.C. 2055 Privacy Program **Prohibited Personnel Practices Records Management Policies and Regulations** Standards of Conduct for Government Employees Uniform Grant Guidance

Whistleblower Protection Enhancement Act

#### **OIG** Coordination

#### COUNCIL OF THE INSPECTORS GENERAL ON INTEGRITY AND EFFICIENCY

The Inspector General maintains active membership in CIGIE and its associated subcommittees. CIGIE identifies, reviews, and discusses issues that are of interest to the entire OIG community. The Inspector General serves on the Legislation Committee and as an adjunct instructor for the CIGIE Training Institute. The Inspector General regularly attends meetings held by CIGIE and their joint meetings with the U.S. Government Accountability Office. The OIG's staff attended seminars and training sessions sponsored or approved by CIGIE.

#### COUNCIL OF COUNSELS TO THE INSPECTORS GENERAL

The Counsel to the Inspector General is a member of the Council of Counsels to the Inspectors General. The Council considers legal issues of interest to the Offices of Inspectors General. During the review period, the Counsel met with peers to discuss items of mutual interest to all OIGs.

### Appendix A: Cross-Reference to Reporting Requirements of the IG Act

| Citation         | Reporting Requirements   | Page(s)          |
|------------------|--|------------------|
| Section 4(a)(2)  | Review of legislation and regulations.   | 20               |
| Section 5(a)(1)  | Significant problems, abuses, and deficiencies.  | 7-9              |
| Section 5(a)(2)  | Recommendations with respect to significant problems, abuses, and deficiencies.  | 7-9              |
| Section 5(a)(3)  | Prior significant recommendations on which corrective action has not been completed.   | 12-15, 25-<br>34 |
| Section 5(a)(4)  | Summary of matters referred to prosecutorial authorities and results.  | NA               |
| Section 5(a)(5)  | Summary of each report made to head of agency when information was refused.  | NA               |
| Section 5(a)(6)  | List of audit, inspection, and evaluation reports by subject matter, showing dollar value of questioned costs and of recommendations that funds be put to better use.  | NA               |
| Section 5(a)(7)  | Summary of each particularly significant report.   | 7-9              |
| Section 5(a)(8)  | Table showing the number of audit, inspection, and evaluation reports and dollar value of questioned costs for reports.  | NA               |
| Section 5(a)(9)  | Table showing the number of audit, inspection, and evaluation reports and dollar value of recommendations that funds be put to better use.   | NA               |
| Section 5(a)(10) | Summary of each audit, inspection, and evaluation report issued<br>before this reporting period for which no management decision was<br>made by end of the reporting period, no establishment comment<br>was returned within 60 days; or for those with any outstanding<br>unimplemented recommendations, including the potential aggregate<br>cost savings. | 12-15, 25-<br>34 |
| Section 5(a)(11) | Significant revised management decisions.  | NA               |
| Section 5(a)(12) | Significant management decisions with which the IG disagrees.  | NA               |
| Section 5(a)(13) | Information under section 804(b) of Federal Financial Management<br>Improvement Act of 1996.   | NA               |
| Section 5(a)(14) | Results of peer review.  | 23               |
| Section 5(a)(15) | Outstanding recommendations from any peer review conducted by another OIG.   | NA               |
| Section 5(a)(16) | Any peer reviews performed of another OIG.   | 23               |
| Section 5(a)(17) | Statistical table showing total number of investigative reports, referrals, and results of referrals.  | 16               |
| Section 5(a)(18) | Metrics used to develop data for table in section 5(a) (17).   | 16               |
| Section 5(a)(19) | Report on each investigation involving a senior government official where allegations of misconduct are substantiated.   | NA               |
| Section 5(a)(20) | Detailed description of whistleblower retaliation.   | NA               |
| Section 5(a)(21) | Detailed description of attempt to interfere with OIG independence.  | NA               |
| Section 5(a)(22) | Detailed description of every inspection, evaluation, and audit closed<br>and not publicly disclosed, and every investigation of senior<br>government employee closed and not publicly disclosed.  | NA               |

### Appendix B: Peer Review

GAGAS require each audit organization to obtain an external review of its system of quality control every three years and make the results publicly available.

On February 24, 2020, the Corporation for National and Community Service Office of Inspector General issued a report of its External Peer Review of our audit organization and opined that our system of quality control for the year ending September 30, 2019, had been "suitably designed and complied with to provide CPSC OIG with reasonable assurance of performing and reporting in conformity with applicable professional standards in all material respects." Audit organizations can receive a rating of pass, pass with deficiencies, or fail. We received an External Peer Review rating of pass. A copy of this peer review is on our website. For the full report <u>click here.</u>

The CPSC OIG last completed a peer review on March 20, 2019, for the United States International Trade Commission Office of Inspector General. We gave an External Peer Review rating of pass. No deficiencies were noted and no formal recommendations were made in that review.

### Appendix C: Statement Regarding Plain Writing

We strive to follow the Plain Writing Act of 2010. The act requires that government documents be clear, concise, well-organized, and follow other best practices appropriate to the subject or field and intended audience.

The abbreviations we use in this report are listed below.

|          | Table of Abbreviations                                       |  |
|----------|--|--|
| Carson   | Richard S. Carson & Associates, Inc.                         |  |
| CIGIE    | Council of the Inspectors General on Integrity and Efficienc |  |
| CLA      | CliftonLarsonAllen, LLP                                      |  |
| CPSC     | U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission                      |  |
| CPSRMS   | Consumer Product Safety Risk Management System               |  |
| DATA Act | Digital Accountability and Transparency Act                  |  |
| DPS      | Defense Point Security                                       |  |
| FISMA    | Federal Information Security Modernization Act               |  |
| FOIA     | Freedom of Information Act                                   |  |
| FY       | Fiscal Year  |  |
| GAGAS    | Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards             |  |
| IG Act   | The Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended                |  |
| IPERA    | Improper Payments Elimination and Recovery Act               |  |
| Kearney  | Kearney & Company  |  |
| М        | Memorandum   |  |
| NEISS    | National Electronic Injury Surveillance System               |  |
| OCM      | Office of Communications Management                          |  |
| OEP      | Occupant Emergency Program                                   |  |
| OIG      | Office of Inspector General                                  |  |
| OMB      | Office of Management and Budget                              |  |
| PSGP     | Pool Safely Grants Program                                   |  |
| QSIE     | Quality Standards for Inspection and Evaluation              |  |
| Treasury | Department of the Treasury                                   |  |

### **Appendix D: Status of Recommendations**

During this reporting period, management continued to make progress in closing open recommendations. This new chart provides a summary of all reports with open recommendations during the last semiannual period and shows management's progress in addressing them.

|                      | Summary c  | of Recommer                   | ndation Impl                          | ementation                       | Progress  |   |
|----------------------|------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|
| Audit Short<br>Title | Audit Date | Total<br>Recom-<br>mendations | Closed Prior<br>to October<br>1, 2019 | Open as of<br>October 1,<br>2019 | Recom-<br>mendations<br>Closed during<br>the period | Recom-<br>mendations<br>Remaining<br>Open |
| RMS                  | 6/5/2012   | 8                             | 1                                     | 7                                | 1   | 6   |
| Debt                 | 9/30/2014  | 2                             | 0                                     | 2                                | 0   | 2   |
| Lab                  | 2/23/2015  | 2                             | 0                                     | 2                                | 2   | 0   |
| FOIA                 | 9/30/2015  | 11                            | 4                                     | 7                                | 0   | 7   |
| Cybersecurity        | 8/14/2016  | 5                             | 0                                     | 5                                | 0   | 5   |
| Contracts            | 7/25/2017  | 14                            | 13                                    | 1                                | 0   | 1   |
| Telework             | 9/29/2017  | 9                             | 4                                     | 5                                | 0   | 5   |
| OEP                  | 6/7/2018   | 12                            | 2                                     | 10                               | 0   | 10  |
| Directives           | 3/21/2019  | 2                             | 0                                     | 2                                | 1   | 1   |
| Property             | 5/31/2019  | 25                            | 0                                     | 25                               | 2   | 23  |
| Pentest              | 6/11/2019  | 40                            | 6                                     | 34                               | 10  | 24  |
| Total                |            | 130                           | 30                                    | 100                              | 16  | 84  |

\*This chart does not include any recommendations from the Financial Statement Audit and FISMA. Those recommendations, if any, are addressed in the annual audit process.

The table below lists all open recommendations prior to the current Semiannual Report period. As a reflection of the changing FISMA metrics, this table includes only the recommendations from the most recent FISMA report prior to the current Semiannual Report period.

| Report Name and<br>Date   | Consolidated Recommendations   |
|---|--|
| Consumer Product<br>Safety Risk<br>Management<br>System Information<br>Security Review<br>Report (RMS)<br>June 5, 2012                                    | <ul> <li>RMS-1. Identify the participants of the CPSC Risk Executive<br/>Council and define specific tasks/milestones for implementing the<br/>proposed Risk Management Framework.</li> <li>RMS-2. Develop an Enterprise Architecture that includes a<br/>comprehensive IT security architecture using the CIO Council's<br/>guidance and incorporate this into the Security Control<br/>Documents.</li> <li>RMS-3. Fully document the implementation of the security<br/>controls.</li> <li>RMS-4. Update the CPSRMS SSP to be the single authoritative<br/>system security document.</li> <li>RMS-5. Update the POA&amp;M to include the missing information, as<br/>required by OMB M-4-25.</li> <li>RMS-8. Define the specific Public Access controls in place/planned.</li> </ul>   |
| Opportunities Exist<br>to Ensure CPSC<br>Employees Are<br>Satisfying in Good<br>Faith Their Just<br>Financial<br>Obligations (Debt)<br>September 30, 2014 | Debt-1. Management develops and documents an internal process<br>to effectively and actively monitor employee wage garnishments<br>pursuant to a lawful court order and transferred from the<br>Department of the Treasury's Treasury Offset Program.<br>Debt-2. Management develops a process to regularly, at least<br>annually, review employee exemption and withholding status for<br>reasonableness.   |
| Audit of the<br>Freedom of<br>Information Act<br>Program (FOIA)<br>September 30, 2015   | <ul> <li>FOIA-1. Revise and implement the CPSC FOIA Program directive and related appendices to ensure consistency with current legal requirements established by the FOIA to include document retention, training, fee assessment requirements, program monitoring, revenue reconciliation, timely updating of the public reading room.</li> <li>FOIA-3. Management develops SOP consistent with current FOIA legislation related to receipt, processing, and tracking of FOIA requests for IDI files.</li> <li>FOIA-5. Management develops a record retention schedule that complies with all current document retention requirements.</li> <li>FOIA-6. Management develops an effective FOIA monitoring system to measure timeliness of completion of all FOIA requests within statutory deadlines whether they should be assessed fees.</li> <li>FOIA-8. Develop and utilize guidance to determine subject(s) of frequent requests in the "reading room" and perform timely updates to reflect frequent requests.</li> <li>FOIA-10. Management develops standard operating procedures to provide guidance on compiling the annual report to the DOJ to include a documented supervisory review and sign-off.</li> <li>FOIA-11. Management documents a review of the data fields in FOIAXpress for accuracy, completeness, and timeliness.</li> </ul> |

| Report Name and<br>Date   | Consolidated Recommendations  |
|---|---|
| Cybersecurity<br>Information<br>Sharing Act of 2015<br>Review Report<br>(Cyber)<br>August 14, 2016  | <ul> <li>Cyber-1. Management updates, develops, and publishes general access control and logical access control policies and procedures for all systems that permit access to PII.</li> <li>Cyber-2. Provide training or document training completion by individual system owners on establishing, implementing, and maintaining logical access policies and procedures for systems that contain PII.</li> <li>Cyber-3. The General Access Control Policy and attendant procedures should be updated to include the elements outlined in the report.</li> <li>Cyber-4. Develop, document, and maintain a software inventory including license management policies and procedures.</li> <li>Cyber–5. Comply with and enforce HSPD-12 multifactor authentication supported by the Personal Identity Verification Card.</li> </ul>                     |
| Report on the<br>Performance Audit<br>of Internal Controls<br>over Contract<br>Management and<br>Administration for<br>Fiscal Year 2016<br>(Contracts)<br>July 25, 2017 | Contracts-8. Obtain an attestation or audit of PRISM general and application controls routinely, preferably annually, and implement the resulting recommendations.  |
| Audit of the<br>Telework<br>Program for Fiscal<br>Year<br>2016 (Telework)<br>September 29, 2017   | <ul> <li>Telework-1. Develop and implement a telework policy that is compliant with current federal laws, regulations, and OPM best practices where appropriate.</li> <li>Telework-2. Align agency practice and telework policy regarding employee participation and position eligibility.</li> <li>Telework-3. Document all decisions made with regard to position eligibility, individual participation including policy exceptions, participation limits, and termination of telework agreements.</li> <li>Telework-4. Design and implement a process to ensure that telework files are complete and regularly reviewed, at least biennially.</li> <li>Telework-5. Implement a process to validate telework information reported to outside parties and used for internal decision-making to internal source data on a routine basis.</li> </ul> |

| Report Name and<br>Date   | Consolidated Recommendations   |
|---|--|
| Date  | <ul> <li>OEP-1. Clearly define all the roles to be used in the agency's OEP.</li> <li>OEP-3. Develop and implement an effective communication strategy to include ongoing awareness and general information for all facility occupants about the OEP and expectations.</li> <li>OEP-4. Develop and implement policies employing multiple communication channels for notifying staff during drills and emergency situations.</li> </ul> |
| Audit of the<br>Occupant<br>Emergency Program<br>for Fiscal Year 2017 | <ul><li>OEP-5. Develop and implement occupant accountability procedures to be practiced during drills and used during emergencies.</li><li>OEP-6. Develop and implement an effective OEP team training program with drills and exercises to include all team members at least annually.</li></ul>  |
| <b>(OEP)</b><br>June 7, 2018  | OEP-7. Develop and implement a corrective action process that<br>reviews the results of all drills, exercises, and actual emergencies<br>and documents whether to update OEP guidance, including<br>showing the updated guidance.<br>OEP-8. Develop and implement procedures to address the needs  |
|   | of individuals requiring additional assistance. These procedures<br>should include a process to routinely update the list of persons<br>requiring assistance.<br>OEP-9. Develop and implement procedures to maintain, retain,  |
|   | and update OEP program documents at least semiannually.<br>OEP-10. Develop and implement an annual round-table discussion<br>with OEP coordinators and teams.  |
|   | OEP-11. Develop and implement facility-specific policies and<br>procedures.<br>FISMA-1. Obtain completed annual A&A packages with valid ATO  |
|   | for all of the CPSC's major systems.   |
| Evaluation of   | FISMA-3. Develop, document, and implement a process for determining and defining system boundaries in accordance with NIST guidance.   |
| CPSC's FISMA<br>Implementation for<br>FY 2018 (FISMA)                 | FISMA-4. Develop, document, and implement a process to classify agency systems as "major" or "minor" in accordance with OMB Circular A-130.  |
| October 31, 2018  | FISMA-5. Establish and implement a policy and procedures to manage software licenses using automated monitoring and expiration notifications.  |
|   | FISMA-6. REDACTED<br>FISMA-7. Define and document the taxonomy of the CPSC's<br>systems to be classified as one of the following types: IT system<br>(e.g., proprietary and/or owned by the CPSC), application (e.g.,  |

| Report Name and<br>Date | Consolidated Recommendations  |
|-------------------------|---|
|                         | commercial off-the-shelf, government off-the-shelf, or custom<br>software), laptops and/or personal computers, service (e.g.,<br>external services that support the CPSC's operational mission,<br>facility, or Social Media) in accordance with FEA. |
|                         | FISMA-8. REDACTED   |
|                         | FISMA-9. REDACTED   |
|                         | FISMA-10. REDACTED  |
|                         | FISMA-11. Define and implement identification and authentication policies and procedures.   |
|                         | FISMA-12. Automatically revoke temporary and emergency access after a specified period of time.   |
|                         | FISMA-13. Define and document a strategy (which include specific milestones) to implement FICAM.  |
|                         | FISMA-14. Integrate ICAM strategy and activities into the enterprise architecture and ISCM.   |
|                         | FISMA-15. Modify the Security and Awareness Training policy to<br>ensure CPSC personnel that affect security and privacy (e.g.,<br>Executive Risk Council) are required to participate in role- based<br>and/or specialized training.                 |
|                         | FISMA-16. Perform an assessment of the knowledge, skills, and abilities of CPSC personnel with significant security responsibilities.   |
|                         | FISMA-17. Develop/tailor security training content for all CPSC personnel with significant security responsibilities, and provide this training to the appropriate individuals.   |
|                         | FISMA-18. Perform a gap analysis to identify all NIST SP 800-53,<br>Rev 4 security controls that were not documented and assessed.  |
|                         | FISMA-19. Document the implementation of all relevant security controls identified in the gap analysis.   |
|                         | FISMA-20. Assess the implementation of all relevant security controls that were identified in the gap analysis.   |
|                         | FISMA-21. Update the implementation statements for the program management family of controls in the GSS LAN's SSP to facilitate an assessment of the effectiveness of those controls.   |
|                         | FISMA-22. Update the GSS LAN SSP to clearly indicate which controls are common controls, and who is responsible for their implementation.   |
|                         | FISMA-23. Update the CPSC ISCM Plan to specify the assessment frequency, monitoring frequency, and annual assessment testing  |

| Report Name and<br>Date | Consolidated Recommendations  |
|-------------------------|---|
|                         | schedule for the program management family of security controls,<br>and the privacy controls.   |
|                         | FISMA-24. Develop an EA to be integrated into the Risk Management Process.  |
|                         | FISMA-25. Develop and enforce a CM plan to ensure it includes all requisite information.  |
|                         | FISMA-26. Develop and implement a set of CM procedures in accordance with the inherited CM Policy which includes appropriate measures for all hardware, software, and supporting infrastructure (e.g., equipment, networks, and operating systems). |
|                         | FISMA-27. REDACTED  |
|                         | FISMA-28. Further define the resource designations for a Change Control Board.  |
|                         | FISMA-29. Identify and document the characteristics of items that are to be placed under CM control.  |
|                         | FISMA-30. Establish measures to evaluate, coordinate, and approve/disapprove the implementation of changes.   |
|                         | FISMA-31. REDACTED  |
|                         | FISMA-32. Define and document all the critical capabilities that the CPSC manages internally as part of the TIC program Managed Trusted Internet Protocol Service.  |
|                         | FISMA-33. Develop and document a robust and formal approach<br>to contingency planning for agency systems and processes using<br>the appropriate guidance (e.g., NIST SP 800-34/53, FCD1, NIST<br>CSF, and NARA guidance).                          |
|                         | FISMA-34. Develop, document, and distribute all required<br>Contingency Planning documents (e.g., organization-wide COOP<br>and BIA, Disaster Recovery Plan, BCPs, and ISCPs) in accordance<br>with appropriate federal and best practice guidance. |
|                         | FISMA-35. Test the set of documented contingency plans.   |
|                         | FISMA-36. Integrate documented contingency plans with the other relevant agency planning areas.   |
|                         | FISMA-37. Develop, document, and distribute all required procedures for the destruction or reuse of media containing PII or other sensitive agency data (e.g., proprietary information).  |
|                         | FISMA-38. REDACTED  |
|                         | FISMA-39. REDACTED  |

| Report Name and<br>Date                                  | Consolidated Recommendations   |
|--|--|
|  | FISMA-40. Establish and implement policies and procedures to require coordination between EXIT and procurement to facilitate identification and incorporation of the appropriate contract clauses within all contracts.  |
|  | FISMA-41. Develop and implement an ERM program based on<br>NIST guidance and guidance from the ERM Playbook (A-123,<br>Section II requirement). This includes establishing a cross-<br>departmental risk executive (function) lead by senior management<br>to provide both a departmental and organization level view of risk<br>to the top decision makers within the CPSC. |
|  | FISMA-42. Identify, document, and implement a strategy to determine the organizational risk tolerance and adequately document the approach in the Risk Management Strategy, policies, and procedures.  |
|  | FISMA-43. Integrate the established strategy for identifying organizational risk tolerance into the ISCM plan.   |
|  | FISMA-44. Establish and implement policies and procedures that require the documentation of POA&Ms with the OMB-required level of granularity.   |
|  | FISMA-45. Establish appropriate dates to remediate issues reported and documented as part of the POA&M process.  |
|  | FISMA-46. Track all changes to POA&M milestones and milestone dates.   |
|  | FISMA-47. Establish criteria to ensure analytics are performed on monthly reporting data and subsequently reported to management.  |
|  | FISMA-48. REDACTED   |
|  | FISMA-49. REDACTED   |
|  | FISMA-50. REDACTED   |
|  | FISMA-51. Identify and implement appropriate profiling techniques to baseline network operations and the characteristics of expected data flows for users and systems.   |
|  | FISMA-52. REDACTED   |
| Audit of the CPSC's<br>Directives System<br>(Directives) | Directives-2. Update directives to ensure they align with directives system policies and procedures as well as reflect the current CPSC organizational structure and operations.   |
| March 21, 2019   |  |

| Report Name and<br>Date  | Consolidated Recommendations   |
|--|--|
|  | PMS-1. Develop and implement a process for receiving and accepting goods and services in accordance with all applicable regulatory requirements. This process should include developing or adjusting an existing government form (e.g., receiving report) that meets these requirements to standardize the receipt and acceptance of goods and services at the CPSC. |
|  | PMS-2. Provide training to CPSC personnel on the revised receipt and acceptance process.   |
|  | PMS-5. Develop and implement procedures to periodically inventory compliance sample items.   |
|  | PMS-6. Update the CPSC policies to reflect the new inventory procedures.   |
|  | PMS-7. Develop and implement controls to ensure that the data entered into PMS and IFS is accurate and consistent with CPSC policies and procedures.   |
|  | PMS-8. Develop procedures to review applicable regulations and<br>laws on an annual basis in order to ensure the property<br>management policies and procedures remain accurate and<br>complete.   |
| Review of Personal<br>Property<br>Management                   | PMS-9. Perform and document a formal analysis on the PMS operating environment and system mission to determine the appropriate system categorization for PMS.  |
| System and<br>Practices for the<br>Calendar Year 2017<br>(PMS) | PMS-10. Upon a justifiable determination of the PMS system categorization, design, implement, and assess the PMS security controls and formally authorize PMS to operate in accordance with CPSC organizational security policies and procedures as well as other applicable government standards.   |
| May 31, 2019   | PMS-11. Establish and implement POA&M management procedures to ensure that all identified security weaknesses, including PMS application-specific and inherited control weaknesses, are fully documented and tracked.  |
|  | PMS-12. Establish and implement POA&M management procedures to ensure that estimated remediation timeframes are established for security weaknesses and based on the levels of risk and level of effort defined in the POA&Ms.   |
|  | PMS-13. Establish and implement POA&M management procedures to ensure that changes to estimated completion dates should be documented and reflected in the POA&M tracker.  |
|  | PMS-14. Estimated completion dates should be documented and reflected in the POA&M tracker.  |
|  | PMS-15. Perform and document a formal analysis of PMS's operating environment and system mission to determine the appropriate risk level categorization for PMS.   |
|  | PMS-16. Upon a justifiable determination of PMS's system categorization, design and implement standard procedures for requesting and approving user access to roles and resources in PMS.  |

| Report Name and<br>Date   | Consolidated Recommendations  |
|---|---|
|   | PMS-17. Develop, approve, and implement procedures to ensure<br>that standard users and administrators are included in the periodic<br>review of PMS user access and that the custodian user access is<br>validated appropriately when performing the review. |
|   | PMS-18. Update the PMS Internal Control Document, or equivalent documentation, to reflect PMS's updated process.  |
|   | PMS-19. Complete and document the periodic review for all PMS users in accordance with PMS's updated procedures.  |
|   | PMS-20. Perform and document a risk analysis to identify SoD conflicts that may exist between PMS and other CPSC systems.   |
|   | PMS-21. Upon completion of the risk analysis, develop and implement procedures to ensure that CPSC users do not have unmonitored conflicting access across multiple systems.  |
|   | PMS-22. Perform and document a risk analysis to identify potential SoD conflicts within PMS.  |
|   | PMS-23. Upon the completion of the risk analysis noted above, management should develop and implement procedures that ensure PMS users do not have sufficient access to allow the unmonitored execution of incompatible transactions.                         |
|   | PMS-24. Update and implement configuration change management procedures which include requirements to perform and document quality control reviews.   |
|   | PMS-25. Develop and implement procedures to log, track, and maintain a list of changes made to the PMS application.   |
| Penetration and<br>Vulnerability<br>Assessment of<br>CPSC's Information<br>Technology<br>Systems<br>(PT)<br>June 11, 2019 | PT-1. REDACTED  |
|   | PT-2. REDACTED  |
|   | PT-5. REDACTED  |
|   | PT-6. REDACTED  |
|   | PT-7. REDACTED  |
|   | PT-8. REDACTED  |
|   | PT-9. REDACTED  |
|   | PT-12. REDACTED   |
|   | PT-13. REDACTED   |
|   | PT-14. REDACTED   |
|   | PT-15. REDACTED   |
|   | PT-16. REDACTED   |
|   |   |

| Report Name and<br>Date | Consolidated Recommendations |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|
|                         | PT-17. REDACTED              |
|                         | PT-18. REDACTED              |
|                         | PT-20. REDACTED              |
|                         | PT-23. REDACTED              |
|                         | PT-24. REDACTED              |
|                         | PT-29. REDACTED              |
|                         | PT-32. REDACTED              |
|                         | PT-33. REDACTED              |
|                         | PT-35. REDACTED              |
|                         | PT-36. REDACTED              |
|                         | PT-38. REDACTED              |
|                         | PT-39. REDACTED              |

### CONTACT US

If you want to confidentially report or discuss any instance of misconduct, fraud, waste, abuse, or mismanagement involving CPSC's programs and operations, please contact the CPSC Office of Inspector General.



**Call:** 301-504-7906 1-866-230-6229



**On-line complaint form:** Click <u>here</u> for complaint form. Click <u>here</u> for CPSC OIG Website.



Write: Office of Inspector General Consumer Product Safety Commission 4330 East-West Highway, Room 702 Bethesda MD 20814