

MEMORANDUM

DATE: April 26, 2023

- **TO:**USAID/Management/Office of Acquisition and Assistance/Cost, Audit and
Support Division/Contract Audit Management Branch, Maya Cole
- FROM: Director of External Financial Audits Division (IG/A/EFA), David A. McNeil /s/
- **SUBJECT:** Financial Audit of Marie Stopes International Reproductive Choices' Fund Accountability Statement and Cost Sharing Schedule for Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2019 (3-000-23-017-R)

This memorandum transmits the final report on the financial audit of the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID) awards managed by Marie Stopes International Reproductive Choices (MSI), for the year ended December 31, 2019. MSI contracted with the independent certified public accounting firm Crowe U.K. LLP (Crowe) to conduct the audit. Crowe stated that it performed its audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards (GAGAS) and USAID Financial Audit Guide for Foreign Organizations. However, Crowe did not have external quality control review and a continuing education program that fully satisfied the GAGAS requirements. Crowe is responsible for the enclosed report and the conclusions expressed in it. We do not express an opinion on MSI's schedule of expenditures of USAID awards; the effectiveness of its internal control; or its compliance with the award, laws, and regulations.¹

The audit objectives were to (1) express an opinion on whether the fund accountability statement for the period audited was presented fairly, in all material respects; (2) evaluate MSI's internal controls; (3) determine whether MSI complied with the awards' terms and applicable laws and regulations; (4) determine if the recipient has taken adequate corrective action on prior audit report recommendations; (5) review cost-sharing contributions to determine whether cost-sharing contributions were provided and accounted for by the recipient in accordance with the terms of the agreements; and (6) perform an audit of the indirect cost rate.

¹ We reviewed the audit report for conformity with professional reporting standards. Our desk reviews are typically performed to identify any items needing clarification or issues requiring management attention. Desk reviews are limited to review of the audit report itself and excludes review of the audit firm's supporting working papers; they are not designed to enable us to directly evaluate the quality of the audit performed.

To answer the audit objectives, Crowe: (a) confirmed that the fund accountability statement separately disclosed the financial information for each award and program; (b) obtained an understanding of the design of relevant control policies and procedures and whether those policies and procedures have been in operation during the audited period; (c) performed tests to determine whether the recipient complied, in all material respects, with agreement terms (including cost-sharing/counterpart contributions) and applicable laws and regulations related to US Government funded programs; and (d) performed tests to determine the actual indirect cost rates for the year if the recipient has used provisional rates to charge indirect costs to USAID. The audit firm examined the USAID costs of \$2,303,186, for the audited period.

Crowe concluded that except for \$873 total questioned cost, with a total unsupported direct questioned of \$756 and total indirect unsupported questioned costs of \$117, MSI's schedule of expenditures of USAID awards presented fairly, in all material respects; the projects' revenues, costs incurred and fund balance for the period audited. Since the questioned costs did not meet the OIG's established threshold of \$25,000 for making a recommendation, we are not making a recommendation. Nevertheless, we suggest that USAID's Office of Acquisition and Assistance Cost, Audit and Support Division/Contract Audit Management Branch determine the allowability of the \$756 in direct questioned costs and recover any amount determined to be unallowable. Crowe identified two significant deficiencies in internal control. We are not making a recommendation for the significant deficiencies noted in the report. However, we suggest that the USAID's Office of Acquisition and Assistance Cost, Audit and Support Division/Contract deficiencies noted in the report. However, we suggest that the USAID's Office of Acquisition and Assistance Cost, Audit and Support Division/Contract Audit Management Branch determine if the recipient addressed the issues noted. Additionally, Crowe identified three instances of material noncompliance associated with the above-questioned costs, which are:

- Incorrect allocation of costs to the award.
- Insufficient documentation.
- Timeliness of Annual Audit.

Crowe determined MSI resolved three of the four prior audit recommendations. Also, Crowe reported that MSI presented fairly the cost-sharing schedule, in all material respects, in accordance with the basis of accounting used to prepare the cost-sharing contributions schedule. Additionally, Crowe reported that the schedule of computation of the indirect cost rate is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole. Crowe noted other matters involving internal control and immaterial instances of noncompliance that were reported to the management of MSI in a separate letter.

To address the issues identified in the report, we recommend that USAID's Office of Acquisition and Assistance Cost, Audit and Support Division/Contract Audit Management Branch:

Recommendation 1. Verify that Marie Stopes International corrects the three instances of material noncompliance detailed on pages 29 to 31 of the audit report.

We ask that you provide written notification of actions planned or taken to reach a management decision. "

OIG does not routinely distribute independent public accounting reports beyond the immediate addressees because a high percentage of these reports contain information restricted from release under the Trade Secrets Act, 18 U.S.C. 1905 and Freedom of Information Act Exemption Four, 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(4)("commercial or financial information obtained from a person that is privileged or confidential").