



---

US OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT  
OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL  
OFFICE OF AUDITS

---

# Final Audit Report

**Subject:**

**Audit of the Federal Employees Health Benefits Program  
operations of Aetna Open Access – Plan Code JN**

**Report No. 1C-JN-00-09-012**

**Date: February 3, 2009**

**This audit report has been distributed to Federal and non-Federal officials who are responsible for the administration of the audited contract. This report may contain proprietary data which is protected by Federal law (18 U.S.C. 1905); therefore, while this report is available under the Freedom of Information Act, caution needs to be exercised before releasing the report to the general public.**



Office of the  
Inspector General

UNITED STATES OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT  
Washington, DC 20415

**AUDIT REPORT**

**Federal Employees Health Benefits Program  
Community-Rated Health Maintenance Organization  
Aetna Open Access  
Contract Number 1766 - Plan Code JN  
Blue Bell, Pennsylvania**

Report No. 1C-JN-00-09-012

Date: February 3, 2009

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Michael R. Esser".

**Michael R. Esser  
Assistant Inspector General  
for Audits**



UNITED STATES OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT  
Washington, DC 20415

Office of the  
Inspector General

---

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

---

**Federal Employees Health Benefits Program  
Community-Rated Health Maintenance Organization  
Aetna Open Access  
Contract Number 1766 - Plan Code JN  
Blue Bell, Pennsylvania**

**Report No. 1C-JN-00-09-012**

**Date: Febraury 3, 2009**

The Office of the Inspector General performed an audit of the Federal Employees Health Benefits Program (FEHBP) operations at Aetna Open Access – Plan Code JN. The audit covered contract years 2006 through 2008 and was conducted at our field office in Jacksonville, Florida. We found that the FEHBP rates were developed in accordance with the Office of Personnel Management's rules and regulations in 2006, 2007, and 2008.

## CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....	i
I. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND.....	1
II. OBJECTIVES, SCOPE, AND METHODOLOGY .....	3
III. RESULTS OF AUDIT.....	5
IV. MAJOR CONTRIBUTORS TO THIS REPORT.....	6

# I. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

## Introduction

We completed an audit of the Federal Employees Health Benefits Program (FEHBP) operations of Aetna Open Access – Plan Code JN (Plan) in Blue Bell, Pennsylvania. The audit covered contract years 2006 through 2008. The audit was conducted pursuant to the provisions of Contract 1766; 5 U.S.C. Chapter 89; and 5 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Chapter 1, Part 890. The audit was performed by the Office of Personnel Management’s (OPM) Office of the Inspector General, as established by the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended.


## Background

The FEHBP was established by the Federal Employees Health Benefits Act (Public Law 86-382), enacted on September 28, 1959. The FEHBP was created to provide health insurance benefits for federal employees, annuitants, and dependents. The FEHBP is administered by OPM’s Center for Retirement and Insurance Services. The provisions of the Federal Employees Health Benefits Act are implemented by OPM through regulations codified in Chapter 1, Part 890 of Title 5, CFR. Health insurance coverage is provided through contracts with various health insurance carriers that provide service benefits, indemnity benefits, or comprehensive medical services.

Community-rated carriers participating in the FEHBP are subject to various federal, state and local laws, regulations, and ordinances. While most carriers are subject to state jurisdiction, many are further subject to the Health Maintenance Organization Act of 1973 (Public Law 93-222), as amended (i.e., many community-rated carriers are federally qualified). In addition, participation in the FEHBP subjects the carriers to the Federal Employees Health Benefits Act and implementing regulations promulgated by OPM.

The FEHBP should pay a market price rate, which is defined as the best rate offered to either of the two groups closest in size to the FEHBP. In contracting with community-rated carriers, OPM relies on carrier compliance with appropriate laws and regulations and, consequently, does not negotiate base rates. OPM negotiations relate primarily to the level of coverage and other unique features of the FEHBP.

The chart to the right shows the number of FEHBP contracts and members reported by the Plan for March 31 of each contract year audited.



The Plan began participating in the FEHBP in 1982 and provides health benefits to FEHBP members throughout Washington D.C., Maryland, and Virginia. The last audit of the Plan conducted by our office was a full scope audit of contract years 2003 through 2005. As a result of that audit, we found that the Plan's rating of the FEHBP was in accordance with the applicable laws, regulations, and OPM rating instructions for the years audited.

The preliminary results of this audit were discussed with Plan officials at an exit conference. Since the audit showed that the Plan's rating of the FEHBP was in accordance with the applicable laws, regulations, and instructions, we did not issue a draft report.

## II. OBJECTIVES, SCOPE, AND METHODOLOGY

### Objectives


The primary objectives of the audit were to verify that the Plan offered market price rates to the FEHBP and to verify that the loadings to the FEHBP rates were reasonable and equitable. Additional tests were performed to determine whether the Plan was in compliance with the provisions of the laws and regulations governing the FEHBP.

### Scope

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

This performance audit covered contract years 2006 through 2008<sup>1</sup>. For contract years 2006 and 2007, the FEHBP paid approximately \$792.8 million in premiums to the Plan. The premiums paid for each contract year audited are shown on the chart to the right.

OIG audits of community-rated carriers are designed to test carrier compliance with the FEHBP contract, applicable laws and regulations, and OPM rate instructions. These audits are also designed to provide reasonable assurance of detecting errors, irregularities, and illegal acts.



We obtained an understanding of the Plan's internal control structure, but we did not use this information to determine the nature, timing, and extent of our audit procedures. However, the audit included such tests of the Plan's rating systems and such other auditing procedures as we considered necessary under the circumstances. Our review of internal controls was limited to the procedures the Plan has in place to ensure that:

- The appropriate similarly sized subscriber groups (SSSG) were selected;
- the rates charged to the FEHBP were the market price rates (i.e., equivalent to the best rate offered to an SSSG); and
- the loadings to the FEHBP rates were reasonable and equitable.

<sup>1</sup> The Subscription Income Report for 2008 was not available at the time this report was completed.

In conducting the audit, we relied to varying degrees on computer-generated billing, enrollment, and claims data provided by the Plan. We did not verify the reliability of the data generated by the various information systems involved. However, nothing came to our attention during our audit testing utilizing the computer generated data to cause us to doubt its reliability. We determined that the data available was sufficient to achieve our audit objectives. Except as noted above, the audit was performed in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

The audit fieldwork was conducted at our Jacksonville, Florida, field office during November and December 2008.

### **Methodology**

We examined the Plan's federal rate submissions and related documents as a basis for validating the market price rates. In addition, we examined the rate development documentation and billings to other groups, such as SSSGs, to determine if the market price was actually charged to the FEHBP. Finally, we used the contract, the Federal Employees Health Benefits Acquisition Regulations, and OPM's Rate Instructions to Community-Rated Carriers to determine the propriety of the FEHBP premiums and the reasonableness and acceptability of the Plan's rating systems.

To gain an understanding of the internal controls in the Plan's rating system, we reviewed the Plan's rating system's policies and procedures, interviewed appropriate Plan officials, and performed other auditing procedures necessary to meet our audit objectives.

### **III. RESULTS OF AUDIT**

Our audit showed that the Plan's rating of the FEHBP was in accordance with the applicable laws, regulations, and OPM's rating instructions for contract years 2006, 2007, and 2008. Consequently, we did not identify any questioned costs and there are no corrective actions necessary.

## IV. MAJOR CONTRIBUTORS TO THIS REPORT

### Community-Rated Audits Group

██████████ Auditor-In-Charge

██████████ Auditor

---

██████████ Group Chief

██████████ Senior Team Leader