San Bernardino County, California, Generally Accounted for and Expended FEMA Public Assistance Funds Properly





April 12, 2016 OIG-16-63-D



DHS OIG HIGHLIGHTS San Bernardino County, California, Generally Accounted for and Expended FEMA Public Assistance Funds Properly

April 12, 2016

Why We Did This Audit

San Bernardino County, California (County), received a \$16.5 million Public Assistance grant award for damages resulting from California wildfires that occurred from October 2007 through March 2008. We audited \$14.3 million of the \$16.5 million gross award.

What We Found

The County generally accounted for and expended FEMA funds according to Federal regulations and FEMA guidelines. However, the County's claim for one of the two large projects we reviewed included \$247,309 in ineligible duplicate costs for which the County will receive insurance recoveries. This occurred because FEMA closed the project before the County finished collecting insurance payments, and the County did not notify either the State or FEMA of these additional recoveries.

What We Recommend

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) should disallow \$247,309 in ineligible duplicate benefits.

For Further Information: Contact our Office of Public Affairs at

(202) 254-4100, or email us at DHSOIG.OfficePublicAffairs@oig.dhs.gov

FEMA Response

FEMA officials agreed with our findings and recommendation and have completed actions to disallow and deobligate the costs we questioned. Therefore, we consider this report closed and require no further action from FEMA. Appendix B includes FEMA's written response in its entirety.



OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

Department of Homeland Security

Washington, DC 20528 / www.oig.dhs.gov

April 12, 2016

MEMORANDUM FOR:

Robert Fenton Regional Administrator, Region IX Federal Emergency Management Agency

16 filly

FROM:

John V. Kelly Assistant Inspector General Office of Emergency Management Oversight

SUBJECT:

San Bernardino County, California, Generally Accounted for and Expended FEMA Public Assistance Funds Properly Audit Report Number OIG-16-63-D

We audited Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Public Assistance grant funds awarded to the San Bernardino County, California (County). The California Governor's Office of Emergency Services (California), a FEMA grantee, awarded the County a total gross amount of \$16.5 million for damages resulting from California Wildfires (DR-1731) that occurred from October 21, 2007, through March 31, 2008.¹ At the time of our field work, FEMA had closed all projects under this grant. The award provided 75 percent FEMA funding for 14 large projects and 23 small projects.² We audited two large projects with total gross amount of \$14.3 million (before \$3.1 million in insurance recoveries) or 87 percent of the total award (see appendix A). At the time of our field work, FEMA had closed all projects under this grant.

Background

The County of San Bernardino is located in the southern portion of California and encompasses 20,105 square miles. In October 2007, the County, home to over 2 million residents, experienced severe wildfires that impacted more than 14,000 acres and damaged 529 privately-owned properties.

¹ The gross award amount represents FEMA's initial estimate of eligible damages before the deduction of anticipated or actual insurance proceeds.

² Federal regulations in effect at the time of the disaster set the small project threshold at \$60,900 [Notice of Adjustment of Disaster Grant Amounts, 72 Fed. Reg. 57,341 (Oct. 9, 2007)].



Figure 1: Private Properties Damaged during Wildfires San Bernardino, California, October 2007



Source: San Bernardino County, California

The President signed a major disaster declaration (DR-1731-CA) on October 24, 2007, to provide California and local governments assistance with recovery efforts. FEMA determined that the fires' ash and debris created an extreme and immediate danger to life, property, health, and public safety.

Results of Audit

The County generally accounted for and expended FEMA funds according to Federal regulations and FEMA guidelines. However, the County's claim for one of the two large projects we reviewed included \$247,309 in ineligible, duplicate costs for which the County will receive insurance recoveries. This occurred because, based on a recommendation from California, FEMA closed the project before the County finished collecting insurance payments. According to the *Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief Emergency Assistance Act*, as amended, no entity will receive assistance for any loss for which it has received financial assistance from any other program, insurance, or any other source (see 42 United States Code 5155). Further, 44 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 206.250(c) requires FEMA to deduct actual or anticipated insurance recoveries from otherwise eligible costs.

FEMA funded the County's ash and debris removal efforts under large Project 778, which included the disposal of debris the County or its contractors collected from damaged private residencies. As a condition of receiving disaster assistance, FEMA required the County to recover insurance benefits from owners of private residencies who received proceeds from their insurance carriers for debris cleanup and use the proceeds to reduce project costs.



FEMA closed the project in May 2013 based on California's recommendation that the project was complete. At that time, the County had incurred \$13,249,566 in expenses and had collected \$3,091,320 in insurance benefits from owners of private residencies; therefore, FEMA funded Project 778 for the difference of \$10,158,246.

By the end of our audit field work in January 2016, the County expected to collect an additional \$247,309 in insurance benefits from private residencies; however, the County had not notified California or FEMA of these additional collections. County officials explained that, in May 2013 when California recommended closure of Project 778, the County was still in the process of collecting insurance benefits from owners of private residencies. They also said that they were not sure why this miscommunication between the County and California occurred, but noted that they would wait for instructions from California on how to remit the additional collections totaling \$247,309. California officials agreed with this finding.

Recommendation

We recommend that the Regional Administrator for FEMA Region IX disallow \$247,309 (Federal share \$185,482) as ineligible duplicate benefits.



Discussion with FEMA and Audit Follow-up

We discussed the results of our audit with County, California, and FEMA officials during our audit. We also provided a draft report in advance to FEMA, California, and County officials, and discussed it at exit conferences with FEMA on February 23, 2016, and with County and California officials on March 8, 2016. We included these officials' comments, as applicable, in the body of this report.

FEMA officials provided a written response on March 17, 2016, agreeing with the recommendation contained in this report. The response indicated that FEMA has prepared Project Worksheet 778 Version 2 to deobligate \$247,309 in duplicate benefits (see appendix B). Therefore, we consider the recommendation to be resolved and closed.³

The Office of Emergency Management Oversight major contributors to this report are Humberto Melara, Director; Louis Ochoa, Audit Manager; and Ravi Anand, Senior Auditor.

Please call me with any questions at (202) 254-4100, or your staff may contact Humberto Melara, Director, Western Regional Office, at (510) 637-1463.

³ FEMA's March 17, 2016, letter responds to an earlier version of the report that recommended disallowance of \$241,579 from Project 778 as ineligible duplicate benefits. However, after we discussed the issue with the County, we revised the recommended disallowance to \$247,309, which is the same amount that FEMA is deobligating from Project 778 (see Appendix B).



Appendix A

Objective, Scope, and Methodology

We audited FEMA Public Assistance grant funds awarded to the County, Public Assistance Identification Number 071-99071-00. Our audit objective was to determine whether the County accounted for and expended FEMA grant funds according to Federal regulations and FEMA guidelines for FEMA Disaster Number 1731-DR-CA. California, a FEMA grantee, awarded the County a total gross amount of \$16.5 million for damages resulting from California wildfires that occurred from October 21, 2007, through March 31, 2008. The award provided 75 percent FEMA funding for 14 large projects and 23 small projects. At the time of our field work, FEMA had closed all projects under this grant.

We audited two large projects with total gross amount of \$14.3 million (see table 1). The audit covered the period from October 21, 2007, through March 24, 2015, the date FEMA closed the County's subgrant application.

FEMA Project Number	FEMA Category of Work*	Gross Award Amount	Anticipated Insurance Recoveries	Insurance Recoveries	Questioned Costs
778	А	\$13,249,566	\$3,091,320	\$3,338,629	\$247,309
913	В	1,002,329	0	0	0
Total		\$14,251,895	\$3,091,320	\$3,338,629	\$247,309

Table 1: Projects Audited and Questioned Costs

Source: Office of Inspector General (OIG) analyses of FEMA and County project documentation

*FEMA identifies type of work by category: A for debris removal, B for emergency protective measures, and C–G for permanent work.

To accomplish our objectives, we interviewed FEMA, California, and County officials; gained an understanding of the County's method of accounting for disaster-related costs and its procurement policies and procedures; judgmentally selected and reviewed (generally based on dollar amounts) project costs and procurement transactions for the projects in our audit scope; reviewed applicable Federal regulations and FEMA guidelines; and performed other procedures considered necessary to accomplish our audit objective. We did not perform a detailed assessment of the County's internal controls applicable to its grant activities because it was not necessary to accomplish our audit objective.



Appendix A (continued)

We conducted this performance audit between August 2015 and February 2016, pursuant to the *Inspector General Act of 1978*, as amended, and according to generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based upon our audit objective. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based upon our audit objective. We conducted this audit by applying the statutes, regulations, and FEMA policies and guidelines in effect at the time of the disaster.



Department of Homeland Security

Appendix B

FEMA Region IX Response

				U.S. Department of Homeland Security Region IX 1111 Broadway, Suite 1200 Oakland, CA 94607-4052 FEIMA
		MAR 1 7 2016		
MEMORA	Γ	Humberto U. Melara Director, Western Regional Off Office of Inspector General	fice	
FROM:	F	Robert J. Fenton ACO Regional Administrator FEMA Region IX	Q	
SUBJECT:	: F S R	EMA-1731-DR-CA, P.A. ID: Subgrantee: San Bernardino Co Response to Audit Report Num EMA Log: 309348.2	ounty	
transmitted Expended I Homeland awarded to listed the fo • Rec und recc Rob FEI the (Ca had witt	the draft report Sam FEMA Public Assist Security's Federal E the County of San E oblowing recommend commendation 1: T ler PW 778 stating the PW 778 stating the ommends that FEMA bert T. Stafford Disa MA's Response to I Subgrantee did not t d OES) of these addi closed the project for	the of Inspector General (OIG) a Bernardino County, Californ, ance Funds Properly. The OIC Emergency Management Agen- Bernardino (Subgrantee) under dation based on findings relate The OIG questioned \$241,579. hat this amount represents inel: A disallow this amount in acco ster Relief and Emergency As: Recommendation 1: Concur. notify the California Governor itional insurance proceeds beer ollowing Cal OES' recommen- lendation, FEMA has prepared	ia, Generall 3 audited the cy (FEMA) Disaster 17 d to Project 00 of actual igible duplic rdance with sistance Act The OIG's 's Office of ause the proj dation. The	y Accounted for and e U.S. Department of Public Assistance grant '31. The audit report Worksheet (PW) 778: insurance proceeds eative funding. The OIG Section 312 of the , as amended. review pointed out that Emergency Services ject was closed. FEMA refore, in concurrence
Audit Report	rto U. Melara t Number G-15-037 -DR-CA, FEMA Log:	309348.2		
In summary	y, FEMA concurs w	ith Recommendation 1 and has	s taken the a	actions noted above.
If you have		quire additional information, p		
Attachment	0.0			
, the children	r			



Department of Homeland Security

Appendix B (continued)

Attachment to FEMA IX Response

		PROJECT WORK	(SHEE)	PEDO	OPT		
					UKI		
DEC	DECLARATION NO. FEMA-CA - DR1731				PREPARED DATE 03/08/2016		
	FIPS NO. 071-99071-00				REPORT DATE 03/17/2016 11:32		
API	LICANT NA	ME SAN BERNARDINO (COUNTY)			INF TYPE (
	SUBDIVIS	SUBDIVISION					
	FEMA PV	V# 778 VSN 2 REF# SWM-	1-002			REC	
со			1-002				
	FEMA PV	ATE	1-002	QTY			
	ST ESTIM	ATE	UOM	QTY 1	(REC	
ITE	ST ESTIMA	ATE MATERIAL AND/OR DESCRIPTION	UOM			COST	



Appendix C

Potential Monetary Benefits

Table 2: Summary of Potential Monetary Denemits				
Type of Potential Monetary Benefit	Total	Federal Share		
Questioned Costs – Ineligible (Duplicate Benefits)	\$247,309	\$185,482		
Questioned Costs – Unsupported	0	0		
Funds Put to Better Use	0	0		
Totals	\$247,309	\$185,482		

Table 2: Summary of Potential Monetary Benefits

Source: OIG analyses of findings in this report



Appendix D

Report Distribution

Department of Homeland Security

Secretary Chief of Staff Acting Chief Financial Officer Under Secretary for Management Chief Privacy Officer Audit Liaison, DHS

Federal Emergency Management Agency

Administrator Chief of Staff Chief Financial Officer Chief Counsel Chief Procurement Officer Director, Risk Management and Compliance Associate Administrator for Policy, Program Analysis, and International Affairs Audit Liaison, FEMA Region IX Audit Liaison, FEMA (Job Code G-15-037)

Office of Management and Budget

Chief, Homeland Security Branch DHS OIG Budget Examiner

Congress

Congressional Oversight and Appropriations Committees

<u>External</u>

Director, California Governor's Office of Emergency Services Audit Liaison, California Governor's Office of Emergency Services California State Auditor Chief Financial Officer, San Bernardino County, California Comptroller, San Bernardino County, California County Auditor, San Bernardino County, California

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND COPIES

To view this and any of our other reports, please visit our website at: www.oig.dhs.gov.

For further information or questions, please contact Office of Inspector General Public Affairs at: <u>DHS-OIG.OfficePublicAffairs@oig.dhs.gov</u>. Follow us on Twitter at: @dhsoig.



OIG HOTLINE

To report fraud, waste, or abuse, visit our website at www.oig.dhs.gov and click on the red "Hotline" tab. If you cannot access our website, call our hotline at (800) 323-8603, fax our hotline at (202) 254-4297, or write to us at:

Department of Homeland Security Office of Inspector General, Mail Stop 0305 Attention: Hotline 245 Murray Drive, SW Washington, DC 20528-0305