

Peer Review

PEER REVIEW OF THE ELECTION ASSISTANCE COMMISSION

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

Report No. MAR-21-05

Federal Labor Relations Authority 1400 K Street, N.W. Suite 250, Washington, D.C. 20424



UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FEDERAL LABOR RELATIONS AUTHORITY

Modified Peer Review Report

July 19, 2021

Mia Forgy, Deputy Inspector General Election Assistance Commission Office of Inspector General 633 3rd Street NW, Suite 200 Washington, DC 20001

Dear Ms. Forgy,

We reviewed established policies and procedures for the audit function of U.S. Election Assistance Commission (EAC) Office of Inspector General (OIG) in effect at March 31, 2021. Established policies and procedures are one of the components of a system of quality control to provide EAC OIG with reasonable assurance of conforming with applicable professional standards. The components of a system of quality control are described in the *Government Auditing Standards*.

Based on our review, the established policies and procedures for the audit function at March 31, 2021, were current and consistent with applicable professional standards as stated. We have identified several areas where EAC OIG could improve the established policies and procedures, but were not material to include in this report.

Monitoring of GAGAS Engagements Performed by Independent Public Accountants

In addition to reviewing established policies and procedures for the audit function of EAC OIG, we applied certain limited procedures in accordance with guidance established by the Council of the Inspectors General on Integrity and Efficiency (CIGIE) *Guide for Conducting Peer Reviews of Audit Organizations of Federal Offices of Inspector General* related to EAC OIG's monitoring of generally accepted government auditing standards (GAGAS) engagements performed by Independent Public Accountants (IPAs) under contract where the IPA served as the auditor.

It should be noted that monitoring of GAGAS engagements performed by IPAs is not an audit; therefore, it is not subject to the requirements of *Government Auditing Standards*. The purpose of our limited procedures was to determine whether EAC OIG had controls to ensure that IPAs performed contracted work in accordance with professional standards. However, our objective was not to express an opinion; accordingly, we do not express an opinion on EAC OIG's monitoring of work performed by IPAs.

Letter of Comment

We have issued a letter dated July 19, 2021 that sets forth findings that were not considered to be of sufficient significance to affect our conclusions on the established policies and procedures.

Basis of Results

Our review was conducted in accordance with the CIGIE *Guide for Conducting Peer Reviews* of Audit Organizations of Federal Offices of Inspector General for assessing established audit policies and procedures. During our review, we (1) obtained an understanding of the nature of the EAC OIG's audit function, (2) assessed established audit policies and procedures, and (3) interviewed EAC OIG's personnel, and (4) assessed the EAC OIG's IPA monitoring process.

Our review was conducted of the Washington, D.C. area office. We reviewed the following IPA monitoring projects:

- 1. Audit of the U.S. Election Assistance Commission's FY 2020 Financial Statements, Report No. I-PA-EAC-01-20.
- 2. Administration of Payments Received Under the Help America Vote Act by the Massachusetts Secretary of the Commonwealth, Report No. E-HP-MA-11-20.
- 3. Administration of Payments Received Under the Help America Vote Act by the Arkansas Secretary of State, Report No. E-HP-AR-08-20.

Sincerely,

Dana A. Rooney Inspector General

Dana J. Looney

Enclosure



VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

July 19, 2021

Dana A. Rooney Inspector General Federal Labor Relations Authority 1400 K Street, NW Suite 235 Washington, DC 20424

Dear Inspector General Rooney:

This letter provides my response to your draft peer review report of the U.S. Election Assistance Commission (EAC) Office of Inspector General (OIG). Based on your review of the EAC OIG's audit policies and procedures, your report concludes that the EAC OIG's established policies and procedures for its audit function for the year ending March 31, 2021, were current and consistent with applicable professional standards as stated. Additionally, the Federal Labor Relations Authority OIG reported no significant findings based on its review. I've reviewed the draft peer review report in its entirety, and I agree with your conclusion.

I greatly appreciate the courtesies and professionalism provided throughout this process. Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions or comments related to my response.

Sincerely,

Mia M. Forgy

Mia Jorgy

Deputy Inspector General

U.S. Election Assistance Commission

CONTACTING THE OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

IF YOU BELIEVE AN ACTIVITY IS WASTEFUL, FRAUDULENT, OR ABUSIVE OF FEDERAL FUNDS, CONTACT THE:

HOTLINE (800)331-3572

HTTP://WWW.FLRA.GOV/OIG-HOTLINE

EMAIL: OIGMAIL@FLRA.GOV

CALL: (202)218-7970 FAX: (202)343-1072

WRITE TO: 1400 K Street, N.W. Suite 250, Washington,

D.C. 20424

The complainant may remain confidential; allow their name to be used; or anonymous. If the complainant chooses to remain anonymous, FLRA OIG cannot obtain additional information on the allegation, and also cannot inform the complainant as to what action FLRA OIG has taken on the complaint. Confidential status allows further communication between FLRA OIG and the complainant after the original complaint is received. The identity of complainants is protected under the provisions of the Whistleblower Protection Act of 1989 and the Inspector General Act of 1978. To learn more about the FLRA OIG, visit our Website at http://www.flra.gov/oig



Office of Inspector General

PEER REVIEW