Department of Health and Human Services

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

ALABAMA DID NOT ADEQUATELY SECURE ITS MEDICAID DATA AND INFORMATION SYSTEMS

Inquiries about his report may be addressed to the Office of Public Affairs at Public.Affairs@oig.hhs.gov



Gloria L. Jarmon
Deputy Inspector General
for Audit Services

September 2017 A-04-15-05065

Office of Inspector General

https://oig.hhs.gov

The mission of the Office of Inspector General (OIG), as mandated by Public Law 95-452, as amended, is to protect the integrity of the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) programs, as well as the health and welfare of beneficiaries served by those programs. This statutory mission is carried out through a nationwide network of audits, investigations, and inspections conducted by the following operating components:

Office of Audit Services

The Office of Audit Services (OAS) provides auditing services for HHS, either by conducting audits with its own audit resources or by overseeing audit work done by others. Audits examine the performance of HHS programs and/or its grantees and contractors in carrying out their respective responsibilities and are intended to provide independent assessments of HHS programs and operations. These assessments help reduce waste, abuse, and mismanagement and promote economy and efficiency throughout HHS.

Office of Evaluation and Inspections

The Office of Evaluation and Inspections (OEI) conducts national evaluations to provide HHS, Congress, and the public with timely, useful, and reliable information on significant issues. These evaluations focus on preventing fraud, waste, or abuse and promoting economy, efficiency, and effectiveness of departmental programs. To promote impact, OEI reports also present practical recommendations for improving program operations.

Office of Investigations

The Office of Investigations (OI) conducts criminal, civil, and administrative investigations of fraud and misconduct related to HHS programs, operations, and beneficiaries. With investigators working in all 50 States and the District of Columbia, OI utilizes its resources by actively coordinating with the Department of Justice and other Federal, State, and local law enforcement authorities. The investigative efforts of OI often lead to criminal convictions, administrative sanctions, and/or civil monetary penalties.

Office of Counsel to the Inspector General

The Office of Counsel to the Inspector General (OCIG) provides general legal services to OIG, rendering advice and opinions on HHS programs and operations and providing all legal support for OIG's internal operations. OCIG represents OIG in all civil and administrative fraud and abuse cases involving HHS programs, including False Claims Act, program exclusion, and civil monetary penalty cases. In connection with these cases, OCIG also negotiates and monitors corporate integrity agreements. OCIG renders advisory opinions, issues compliance program guidance, publishes fraud alerts, and provides other guidance to the health care industry concerning the anti-kickback statute and other OIG enforcement authorities.

Notices

THIS REPORT IS AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC

at https://oig.hhs.gov

Section 8M of the Inspector General Act, 5 U.S.C. App., requires that OIG post its publicly available reports on the OIG Web site.

OFFICE OF AUDIT SERVICES FINDINGS AND OPINIONS

The designation of financial or management practices as questionable, a recommendation for the disallowance of costs incurred or claimed, and any other conclusions and recommendations in this report represent the findings and opinions of OAS. Authorized officials of the HHS operating divisions will make final determination on these matters.

Report in Brief

Date: September 2017 Report No. A-04-15-05065

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

Why OIG Did This Review

HHS oversees States' use of various Federal programs, including Medicaid. State agencies are required to establish appropriate computer system security requirements and conduct biennial reviews of computer system security used in the administration of State plans for Medicaid and other Federal entitlement benefits (45 CFR § 95.621). This review is one of a number of HHS OIG reviews of States' computer systems used to administer HHS-funded programs. Our objective was to determine whether Alabama adequately secured its Medicaid data and information systems in accordance with Federal requirements.

How OIG Did This Review

We reviewed Alabama's Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS) policies and procedures, interviewed staff, and reviewed supporting documentation that Alabama provided. In addition, we used vulnerability assessment scanning software to determine whether security-related vulnerabilities existed on selected MMIS supporting network devices, Web sites, servers, and databases. We communicated to Alabama our preliminary findings in advance of issuing our draft report.

Alabama Did Not Adequately Secure Its Medicaid Data and Information Systems

What OIG Found

Alabama did not adequately secure its Medicaid data and information systems in accordance with Federal requirements. Although Alabama had adopted a security program for its MMIS, numerous significant system vulnerabilities remained. These vulnerabilities remained because Alabama neither implemented sufficient controls over its MMIS data and information systems nor provided sufficient oversight to ensure that HP, Alabama's Medicaid fiscal agent, implemented contract security requirements. Although we did not identify evidence that anyone had exploited these vulnerabilities, exploitation could have resulted in unauthorized access to and disclosure of Medicaid data, as well as the disruption of critical Medicaid operations. These vulnerabilities were collectively and, in some cases, individually significant and could have compromised the integrity of Alabama's Medicaid program.

What OIG Recommends and Alabama Comments

We recommend that Alabama improve its Medicaid security program to secure Medicaid data and information systems in accordance with Federal requirements, provide adequate oversight to its contractors, and address the vulnerabilities identified during our audit.

Alabama concurred with our recommendations and described steps that it had taken or planned to take to address our recommendations. However, in its comments on our draft report Alabama objected to the title of our report, stating, "Alabama has always, and will continue to always, strive to secure its Medicare data and information systems."

We acknowledged in our draft report that Alabama had adopted a security program to protect its Medicaid data and information systems. However, we identified significant vulnerabilities, which increased the risks of Medicaid data and information systems being exploited. Therefore, we did not change the title of our report.