TREASURY INSPECTOR GENERAL FOR TAX ADMINISTRATION



February 12, 2019

Reference Number: 2019-10-011

This report has cleared the Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration disclosure review process and information determined to be restricted from public release has been redacted from this document

Redaction Legend:

1 = Tax Return/Return Information

2 = Law Enforcement Techniques/ Procedures and Guidelines for Law Enforcement Investigations or Prosecutions.

Phone Number / 202-622-6500

E-mail Address / TIGTACommunications@tigta.treas.gov

Website / http://www.treasury.gov/tigta



To report fraud, waste, or abuse, call our toll-free hotline at:

1-800-366-4484

By Web:

www.treasury.gov/tigta/

Or Write:

Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration P.O. Box 589 Ben Franklin Station Washington, D.C. 20044-0589

Information you provide is confidential and you may remain anonymous.



HIGHLIGHTS

REVIEW OF PROCESSES TO IDENTIFY AND ASSIST IN INVESTIGATIONS OF TAX-EXEMPT ORGANIZATIONS WITH

Highlights

Final Report issued on February 12, 2019

Highlights of Reference Number: 2019-10-011 to the Commissioner of Internal Revenue.

IMPACT ON TAXPAYERS

The Tax Exempt and Government Entities	
TE/GE) Division plays a role in the	
J.S. Government's ****2****************	*
**************************	**
***************************************	**
*************************	***
*************************	*
2*	

WHY TIGTA DID THE AUDIT

WHAT TIGTA FOUND

While processing tax-exempt tax returns, the
IRS identified 123 returns ********2***********

TE/GE Division management stated that Examination personnel did not identify any *********2********* during general program examinations of tax-exempt organization tax returns in Fiscal Year 2017. However, these employees have not received any *****2***** *********2*********. TE/GE Division management stated that examiners perform focused audits, and if potential fraud issues or suspicious transactions are identified, the examiners should discuss the issues with Financial Investigations Unit revenue agents, who have specialized training to *******2******* **2**. However, without an awareness of **********************************, examiners may not raise issues with the Financial Investigations Unit.

WHAT TIGTA RECOMMENDED



DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20220

February 12, 2019

MEMORANDUM FOR COMMISSIONER OF INTERNAL REVENUE

Minde & Mik-

FROM: Michael E. McKenney

Deputy Inspector General for Audit

SUBJECT: Final Audit Report – Review of Processes to Identify and Assist in

Investigations of Tax-Exempt Organizations With ******2*****

****2**** (Audit # 201710028)

This report presents the results of our review to determine what actions the Tax Exempt and Government Entities Division has taken to identify, and assist Internal Revenue Service (IRS) Criminal Investigation and other Government agencies with the investigation of, tax-exempt organizations with ***********************. The audit was requested by the Chairman of the U.S. Senate Committee on Finance and addresses the major management challenge of Improving Tax Compliance.

Management's complete response to the draft report is included as Appendix VI. We have concerns about certain statements in the IRS's response to our report that we believe warrant further comment. Our comments are in included in Appendix VII.

Copies of this report are also being sent to the IRS managers affected by the report recommendations. If you have any questions, please contact me or Deann Baiza, Acting Assistant Inspector General for Audit (Management Services and Exempt Organizations).



Table of Contents

Background	Page	1
Results of Review	Page	5
Processes to Identify *********** Have Been Established, but Some Processes Were Not		
Functioning Properly	Page	5
Recommendations 3 through 5:Page 11		
The Financial Investigations Unit Assists Criminal Investigation and Other Federal Agencies With Investigations Involving ********** Investigations Involving ************************************	Page 1	11
Appendices Appendix I – Detailed Objective, Scope, and Methodology	Page 1	13
Appendix II – Major Contributors to This Report	_	
Appendix III – Report Distribution List	Page	16
<u>Appendix IV – Outcome Measure</u>	Page	17
<u>Appendix V – Research Sources</u>	Page	18
Appendix VI – Management's Response to the Draft Report	Page 2	20
Appendix VII – Office of Audit Comments on Management's Respons	ePage 2	25



Abbreviations

CI Criminal Investigation

EO Exempt Organizations

FIU Financial Investigations Unit

FY Fiscal Year

IRS Internal Revenue Service

RCCMS Reporting Compliance Case Management System

SEIN Statistics of Income EO Return Image Net

TE/GE Tax Exempt and Government Entities

TIGTA Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration



Background

- ************************************

**************2******************
The Internal Revenue Service's (IRS) Tax Exempt and Government Entities (TE/GE) Division plays a role in the U.S. Government's ************************************
*************** The IRS's Exempt
Organizations (EO) function ⁴ is responsible for reviewing applications for tax-exempt status and conducting examinations of tax-exempt organizations. This audit report focuses on the EO function's efforts to address tax-exempt organizations' ************************************

Any yearly accounting period, regardless of its relationship to a calendar year. The Federal Government's fiscal year begins on October 1 and ends on September 30. 2 ***********************************
⁴ The EO function is part of the IRS's Tax Exempt and Government Entities Division. ⁵ CI special agents investigate potential criminal violations of the Internal Revenue Code and related financial crimes. In addition, CI ***********************************
- aaaaaaaa Zaaaaaaaaa



********2******* updates and distributes this list to IRS staff on a monthly basis.

Review of incoming tax-exempt organization tax returns

*******.10 *****************************

Review and processing of referrals (allegations)

⁷ According to IRS management, fields and records for individuals and organizations not related to ****2*****
*****2****** are excluded when creating the IRS's ***********.

⁸ The TE/GE Division has an agreement with the Wage and Investment Division for Wage and Investment Division personnel at the Ogden Submission Processing Center to process and review Form 990 series tax returns.

⁹ Other Form 990 tax returns that are reviewed include Form 990-EZ, Short Form Return of Organization Exempt From Income Tax, and Form 990-PF, Return of Private Foundation or Section 4947(a)(1) Nonexempt Charitable Trust Treated as a Private Foundation.

¹⁰ The Statistics of Income Distributed Processing System includes databases, applications, and scanning required to support the IRS's requirement to report to Congress annually on the number and types of tax returns filed, the characteristics of those returns, and the money amounts reported on those returns. The Statistics of Income EO Return Image Net (SEIN) is part of this overall system.

¹¹ The improper conduct allegations can include an organization not operating in accordance with its tax-exempt purpose(s), officers or board members using funds or assets for their personal use, and an organization funding or participating in terrorist activities.

¹² Classifiers are experienced revenue agents who review referrals to determine if allegations made in the referrals are reasonable and if a violation of tax law is likely based on all the available data obtained through research.



Based on the facts and circumstances, the classifier evaluates the risk that a violation	of tax law
has occurred and assesses whether an examination is warranted. ********2***	******
***************************************	****
*************************	******
***************************************	*******
***************************************	*******
*************************	*******

Examination of tax-exempt organizations

¹³ Research of the referral items is performed on a first-in, first-out basis. See Appendix V for a list of the resources TE/GE Division personnel may research when evaluating referrals.

¹⁴ Tax-exempt organizations may owe tax on income earned from noncharitable activities, *e.g.*, investments, sale of assets.

¹⁵ The TE/GE fraud specialist works with the Fraud Technical Advisor Program, which is an IRS-wide program comprised of revenue agents and revenue officers dispersed throughout the country to assist with the development of fraud. In May 2018, the IRS announced that the program was being downsized and reorganized.



Assistance to Cl and other Government agencies

********17 ****************************

This review was performed at the Submission Processing Center in Ogden, Utah, and the TE/GE Division offices in Dallas, Texas, during the period August 2017 through June 2018. We conducted this performance audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objective. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objective. Detailed information on our audit objective, scope, and methodology is presented in Appendix I. Major contributors to the report are listed in Appendix II.

16 ************************************

17 ************************************



Results of Review

The TE/GE Division created processes to identify tax-exempt organizations with ****2****

****2****. However, some processes were not functioning properly. TIGTA determined that the IRS could improve processes to identify and follow up on tax-exempt organizations with
************************ when (1) processing tax-exempt organizations' tax returns,
(2) reviewing referrals (allegations), and (3) examining tax-exempt organizations' tax returns.

Processing tax-exempt organization tax returns

No actions were taken on reports of *******2******** for more than a year

¹⁸ A unique nine-digit number used to identify a taxpayer's business account.



*****	*******************************	****************************	***
*****	·*************************************	****************************	***
*****	·*************************************	****************	***
*****	**************	***************	***
*****	*********1****************	********2******************	***
*****	*********2***************	********1******************	***
*****	**************	*************	k
review ***** by the l	of the 123 tax returns that Ogden Submis	the audit that the TE/GE Division completes on Processing clerks had identified as have so and, based on additional research performance ferred three individuals to CI. *****1**	ing a med
	**************	/ ************************************	

	******************	********************	
	***************	*************************	
	**********	**********	
	**********	**********	
	*****************	***********	
	****************************	**********	
*****	*************	**********	
****2	******* *******		
*****	***************************************	**************	**
*****	- ************************************	**************	**
*****		*************	**
*****		*************	**
*****	- ************************************	************	**
*****		*************	**
*****	*****************************	*************	****
*****	- ************************************	*************	****
*****		*************	**
*****	- ************************************	************	**

*****	***************	************	**
*****	****************	************	**
	- ***C*********************************		

¹⁹ See Appendix IV.



******2*******.

*****2******. Figure 2 summarizes the results of our review of the 29 tax-exempt
organizations' tax returns.



Figure 2: Number of Tax Returns With ****2****

Type of ****2****	Number of Returns
*************2**************	19
**************************	*1*
*************2**************	*1*
Total	29

Reviewing referrals of tax-exempt organizations

TE/GE Division management has developed a process to evaluate referrals of tax-exempt organizations with *******2********** and to forward tax-exempt organizations for examination consideration, when warranted. However, documentation on how the classifiers determined examinations were or were not warranted was limited, and only one classifier is trained to review referrals involving ****2****.

Only limited documentation was retained in the referral inventory records

The referral inventory records maintained on the RCCMS do not fully document the classifiers' decisions on whether to forward the referrals for examination consideration. All classification referrals are maintained on the RCCMS to allow TE/GE Division management to track information, such as the date the referral was received, the source of the referral, who made the decision to examine or not, and the reason for the decision.

- **********************

We reviewed available documentation for the 54 referrals to determine what research was performed by the classifiers and the reasons for the decision whether or not to forward these referrals for examination consideration. However, the RCCMS data and documentation provided by TE/GE Division management did not always show the basis for the decisions made by the classifiers. According to TE/GE Division management, classifiers record the basis for their decisions in an RCCMS comment field; however, the data field has a character limitation that resulted in the entries being cut off. In addition, TE/GE Division management stated that



classifiers could record their actions and decisions for each case on an electronic or hardcopy form (e.g., Case Chronology Record), which can be uploaded into the RCCMS. However, classifiers have not been trained to follow this process. Until the classifiers are trained on using and uploading the forms for recording their decisions, the case file documentation supporting their decision on whether or not an examination is warranted may continue to be incomplete.

Only one classifier is trained to review ******2***** referrals

*******1****** because the only classifier with ******2***** was unavailable.
When referrals are categorized as ******2***** the referral is considered to be high priority
and should be routed to an experienced classifier who is trained to review these referrals. **1**

******** The reliance on one subject matter expert
to review all incoming referrals involving ******* leaves the IRS vulnerable to
misrouting or incorrectly reviewing referrals with **********2******************. By
providing more classifiers with ******2***** the IRS can protect against these potential
errors.

Examining tax-exempt organizations

²¹ TIGTA, Ref. No. 2019-10-006, Review of the Processing of Referrals Alleging Impermissible Political Activity by Tax-Exempt Organizations (Oct. 2018).

²⁴ Additionally, these focused examinations will include two or three issues identified by the Government Entities and Shared Services functions' Compliance Planning and Classification office.



*************2************************
complex cases, such as cases involving money laundering, public corruption, and assisting CI in investigations involving *****2**** According to TE/GE Division management, EO function general program Examination personnel did not identify any cases involving ******2******************************
The ********2******* provided to personnel in other EO function offices includes training to ***********************************
******** In addition, ************************************
discussing our concerns regarding awareness training during the audit, a CI senior analyst recognized the benefits of awareness training and offered CI's assistance in providing this training to the general program revenue agents to increase their awareness of ******2*****************************

Recommendations

The Acting Commissioner, TE/GE Division, should:

Recommendation 1: Develop written procedures assigning responsibility for timely forwarding Ogden Submission Processing Center reports that identify tax-exempt tax returns with *******2******* and who should review the reports to verify ******2********.

<u>Management's Response</u>: The IRS agrees with this recommendation. In April 2018, the TE/GE Division developed written procedures assigning the responsibility for forwarding Submissions Processing Center reports. These procedures will be incorporated into an Internal Guidance Memorandum by the implementation date and subsequently into the appropriate Internal Revenue Manual section.

Management's Response: The IRS agrees with this recommendation. **2* ******* will be developed for the Ogden Submission Processing clerks who



Recommendation 3: Ensure that classifiers document referral case files with the actions taken, research performed, and reasons for decisions on whether or not to forward referrals for examination consideration.

Management's Response: The IRS agrees with this recommendation. The IRS regularly trains classifier personnel. In April 2018 training, the TE/GE Division emphasized the requirements for consistently documenting related research in the RCCMS. The Internal Revenue Manual and desk guide will be updated in the normal course of business to provide clear guidance to classifiers regarding how to document referral case files with the actions taken, research performed, and reasons for decisions whether or not to forward referrals for examination consideration. Training will be provided to classifiers after updates to the Internal Revenue Manual and desk guide.

The Director, Compliance, Planning and Classification, should:

Recommendation 4: Cross-train additional TE/GE Division EO Referral Group classifiers to review referrals alleging *****2**** of tax-exempt organizations.

Management's Response: The IRS agrees with this recommendation. The Director, Compliance, Planning and Classification, will provide training to four senior TE/GE Division EO Referral Group classifiers regarding review of referrals alleging *********** of tax-exempt organizations. All classifiers will be provided awareness training on the topic.

The Director, EO function Examinations Program, should:

<u>Recommendation 5</u>: Provide general ********2******** to general program Examination employees.

<u>Management's Response</u>: The IRS agrees with this recommendation. The EO function will coordinate with IRS CI to provide awareness training to EO function Examination employees.

The Financial Investigations Unit Assists Criminal Investigation and Other Federal Agencies With Investigations Involving ****2****

2*



receives requests for assistance in developing issues involving tax-exempt organizations from CI cases via Form 6544, *Request for Cooperating Examiner/Revenue Officer*. Except for requests for expert witness testimony regarding tax-exempt organizations from the Department of Justice, all requests for assistance from other Government entities are made through CI.

According to FIU management, the revenue agents have expended more than 1,450 hours on these three cases providing assistance such as reviewing:

- Integrated Data Retrieval System²⁵ records to verify organizational entity information, tax filings, and sources of income and to identify additional banking sources.
- SEIN²⁶ records to obtain unredacted Form 990 series tax returns.

According to ******2****** the IRS's involvement increases the chances of a successful prosecution in these types of cases.

²⁵ The Integrated Data Retrieval System is an IRS computer system capable of retrieving or updating stored information. It works in conjunction with a taxpayer's account records.

²⁶ The secure IRS document imaging system used by the Ogden Submission Processing Center clerks to scan and create images of specific tax-exempt organization returns. Authorized TE/GE Division personnel may access the system to view unredacted tax-exempt organizations' tax returns.

²⁸ See Appendix V for additional research sources that may be used by FIU agents.



Appendix I

Detailed Objective, Scope, and Methodology

Our overall objective was to determine what actions the TE/GE Division had taken to identify, and assist IRS's CI and other Government agencies with the investigation of, tax-exempt organizations with ******************************. To accomplish our objective, we:

- - D. Determined if the FIU had established processes to investigate and document tax-exempt organizations with ***************************** when requested by CI and other Government agencies.
- - A. Determined the results of the Ogden Submission Processing Center's actions to identify tax-exempt organizations with *******2*************.
 - B. Determined the results of the EO Referral Group's actions taken to identify tax-exempt organizations with ***************************. We analyzed RCCMS data and validated the case list provided by EO Referral Group management of the referrals received during FY 2017. We determined that the data were reliable for our purposes by reviewing the related documentation including the desk guide, the data dictionary, and the query language used by IRS officials to produce the data extract; interviewing knowledgeable agency officials; and testing (electronic filtering and sorting) and inspecting all fields (name fields contained names, Taxpayer Identification Number field contained Taxpayer Identification Numbers, *etc.*).



- Additionally, we matched and validated the case extract from the RCCMS back to the full-system download.
- C. Determined the results of the EO function Examinations program's actions taken to identify tax-exempt organizations with *******2***********.

Internal controls methodology



Appendix II

Major Contributors to This Report

Gregory D. Kutz, Assistant Inspector General for Audit (Management Services and Exempt Organizations)

Deann L. Baiza, Acting Assistant Inspector General for Audit (Management Services and Exempt Organizations)

Troy D. Paterson, Acting Assistant Inspector General for Audit (Management Services and Exempt Organizations)

Carl L. Aley, Audit Director

LaToya R. Penn, Acting Audit Director

Andrew J. Burns, Acting Audit Manager

Julia Moore, Acting Audit Manager

Michele N. Strong, Acting Audit Manager

Melinda H. Dowdy, Senior Auditor

Catherine R. Sykes, Auditor



Review of Processes to Identify and Assist in Investigations of Tax-Exempt Organizations With ********2*******

Appendix III

Report Distribution List

Deputy Commissioner for Services and Enforcement Acting Commissioner, Tax Exempt and Government Entities Division Acting Deputy Commissioner, Tax Exempt and Government Entities Division Director, Exempt Organizations, Tax Exempt and Government Entities Division Acting Director, Government Entities and Shared Services, Tax Exempt and Government **Entities Division**



Appendix IV

Outcome Measure

This appendix presents detailed information on the measurable impact that our recommended corrective actions will have on tax administration. This benefit will be incorporated into our Semiannual Report to Congress.

Type and Value of Outcome Measure:

•	Reliability of Information – Actual; ************************************

	************2**************

Methodology Used to Measure the Reported Benefit:

According to the **********************, Ogden Submission Processing Center clerks identified 123 tax-exempt tax returns with ************************************
2 from October 2016 through December 2017. **************************

****** are not coded properly, reviewers may be
unable to identify the ********************************** and will have to
duplicate the efforts of the Ogden Submission Processing Center clerks to ******2********.
Additionally, when reviewing original, unredacted tax returns (i.e., when classifying or
examining returns), IRS personnel will be unaware of the *******2********.1



Appendix V

Research Sources

*********2********	***************************************
*****2******	**************************************
*********2*********** ********2********	**************************************
**********2********	**************************************
**************************************	**************************************
*******2******	**************************************
**************************************	***************************************
***********2**************************	**************************************

1 :	***************************************
**	***************************************
**	***************************************
**	***************************************



*********2********	***************************************
************2*************************	**************************************
***********2**************************	***************************************



Review of Processes to Identify and Assist in Investigations of

Appendix VI

Management's Response to the Draft Report



MEMORANDUM FOR MICHAEL E. McKENNEY

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE WASHINGTON, D.C. 20224

November 8, 2018

DEPUTY INSPECTOR GENERAL FOR AUDIT

FROM:	David W. Horton (W. Acting Commissioner, Tax-Exempt and Government Entities Division (TE/GE)
SUBJECT:	Draft Audit Report – Review of Processes to Identify and Assist in Investigations of Tax-Exempt Organizations With *******2*****************************
	ortunity to review the draft audit report titled: "Review of and Assist in Investigations of Tax-Exempt Organizations With udit # 201710028)."
and assist other Gove organizations with ****	's acknowledgment that TE/GE has in place processes to identify rnment agencies with the investigation of tax-exempt ************************************

	takes seriously its responsibilities to administer compliance with TE/GE concurs with TIGTA's recommendations to improve its
TIGTA observes in its review ************************************	report that in one instance TE/GE personnel did not forward or ************************************
indicated above and ir	the detailed response outlining our corrective actions, TE/GE on procedures for the forwarding, review, and verification of these



2

reports. However, TE/GE observes that the situation identified was an anomaly that resulted from employee attrition and not necessarily from a lack of written procedures. Moreover, as TIGTA observes, the relevant returns were subsequently appropriately reviewed and evaluated, resulting in the referral of three individuals to IRS Criminal Investigation.

TIGTA also observed that there were some instances in which submission processing clerks did not ***********************************
TE/GE employees that review applications for exemption. In fact, applicable TE/GE procedures provides that while ************************************
*******2***********other facts and circumstances may be the basis for treating it as a *********************************
additional instruction and criteria to submissions processing clerks regarding what constitutes **********2**************************
TIGTA states that employees who perform examinations of tax-exempt organizations do not receive ***********************************
Finally, we wish to clarify that references throughout the report to **********2************************

We appreciate the apportunity to review and comment on the draft report

We appreciate the opportunity to review and comment on the draft report. Attached is a detailed response outlining our corrective actions to address your recommendations, some of which have already been completed. If you have any questions, please contact me, or a member of your staff may contact Margaret A. Von Lienen, Director, Exempt Organizations, at (513) 975-6562.

Attachment



Attachment

Corrective Actions for TIGTA Draft Audit Report –
Review of Processes to Identify and Assist in Investigations of Tax-Exempt
Organizations With ********************************** (Audit # 201710028)

RECOMMENDATION 1:

The Acting Commissioner, TE/GE Division, should develop written procedures assigning responsibility for timely forwarding Ogden Submission Processing Center reports that identify tax-exempt tax returns with *******************************, and who should review the reports to verify ******************************.

CORRECTIVE ACTION:

The IRS agrees with this recommendation. In April 2018, TE/GE developed written procedures assigning the responsibility for forwarding submissions processing reports. These procedures will be incorporated into an Internal Guidance Memorandum by the implementation date, and subsequently into the appropriate Internal Revenue Manual section.

IMPLEMENTATION DATE:

April 15, 2019

RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL(S):

Director, Government Entities and Shared Services (GE/SS), Tax Exempt and Government Entities Division

CORRECTIVE ACTION MONITORING PLAN:

IRS will monitor this corrective action as part of our internal management system of controls.

RECOMMENDATION 2:

CORRECTIVE ACTION:

IMPLEMENTATION DATE:

April 15, 2019



RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL(S):

Director, Government Entities and Shared Services (GE/SS), Tax Exempt and Government Entities Division

CORRECTIVE ACTION MONITORING PLAN:

IRS will monitor this corrective action as part of our internal management system of controls.

RECOMMENDATION 3:

The Acting Commissioner, TE/GE Division, should ensure that classifiers document referral case files with the actions taken, research performed, and reasons for decisions on whether or not to forward referrals for examination consideration.

CORRECTIVE ACTION:

The IRS agrees with this recommendation. IRS regularly trains classifier personnel. In April 2018 training, TE/GE emphasized the requirements for consistently documenting related research in Reporting Compliance Case Management System. The IRM and desk guide will be updated in the normal course of business to provide clear guidance to classifiers regarding how to document referral case files with the actions taken, research performed, and reasons for decisions whether or not to forward referrals for examination consideration. Training will be provided to classifiers after updates to IRM and desk guide.

IMPLEMENTATION DATE:

April 15, 2019

RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL(S):

Director, Compliance Planning and Classification, Tax Exempt and Government Entities Division

CORRECTIVE ACTION MONITORING PLAN:

IRS will monitor this corrective action as part of our internal management system of controls.

RECOMMENDATION 4:

CORRECTIVE ACTION:

The IRS agrees with this recommendation. The Director, Compliance, Planning and Classification, will provide training to four senior TE/GE Division EO Referral Group



IMPLEMENTATION DATE:

April 15, 2019

RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL(S):

Director, Compliance Planning & Classification, Tax Exempt and Government Entities Division

CORRECTIVE ACTION MONITORING PLAN:

IRS will monitor this corrective action as part of our internal management system of controls.

RECOMMENDATION 5:

CORRECTIVE ACTION:

The IRS agrees with this recommendation. Exempt Organizations will coordinate with IRS Criminal Investigation to provide awareness training to EO Examinations employees.

IMPLEMENTATION DATE:

April 15, 2019

RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL(S):

Director, Exempt Organizations, Tax Exempt and Government Entities Division

CORRECTIVE ACTION MONITORING PLAN:

IRS will monitor this corrective action as part of our internal management system of controls.



Appendix VII

Office of Audit Comments on Management's Response

In response to our draft report, the Acting Commissioner, TE/TG Division, included some general comments and assertions that we believe warrant additional comment. We have included portions of management's response and our related comments below.

<u>Mana</u>	ement Statement: [The] report notes that **********2*************
****	************************************
****	***********************
****	***********************
****	***********************
****	***********************
****	***************************************
****	***************************************
****	***************************************
	Office of Audit Comment: In their response, IRS officials highlight that the

	·*************************************
	·*************************************
	·*************************************
	·*************************************
	·*************************************
	·*************************************

Office of Audit Comment: We disagree with this statement. We identified 15 monthly reports covering the period of October 2016 through December 2017 that were not forwarded or reviewed. We believe that, had written procedures been available for employees to consult, employees would know expected time frames for forwarding



the reports, who the reports should be forwarded to, and an established time frame for reviewing the reports.

<u>Management Statement</u>: TIGTA also observed that there were some instances in which submission processing clerks did not document *****2***** exactly in accordance with procedures. While TE/GE will continue to train the clerks regarding how to ******2******, the documentation utilized by the clerks did not ultimately preclude identification and review of the cases for ********2*********.

<u>Office of Audit Comment:</u> As noted in our report, when returns are not coded properly, reviewers may be unable to *****2****** on the returns that triggered the ****2***** and will have to duplicate effort of the submission processing clerks to *****2*****. In addition, when reviewing original unredacted returns, IRS personnel will be unaware of ******2******.