

## Office of Inspector General

Appalachian Regional Commission

# Audit of Grant Award to UPMC Western Maryland Corporation Grant Number MD-21630

Report Prepared by Regis & Associates, PC

Report Number 25-36

August 21, 2025



August 21, 2025

TO: Brandon McBride, Executive Director

FROM: Clayton Fox, Inspector General

SUBJECT: Audit Report 25-36 – UPMC Western Maryland Corporation

This memorandum transmits the Regis & Associates, PC, report for the audit of costs charged to grant number MD-21630 per its agreement with the Appalachian Regional Commission. The objective of the audit was to determine if costs claimed were allowable, allocable, reasonable, and in conformity with the Commission's award terms and conditions and Federal financial assistance requirements. In addition, the audit determined whether the performance measures were reasonable, supported, and fairly represented to the Commission.

Regis & Associates, PC, is responsible for the attached audit report and the conclusions expressed in this report. The auditors issued no recommendations in the report. We do not express any opinion on the conclusions presented in the audit report. To fulfill our responsibilities, we:

- Reviewed the approach to and planning of the audit;
- Evaluated the qualifications and independence of the auditors;
- Monitored the progress of the audit at key points;
- Coordinated periodic meetings, as necessary;
- Reviewed the draft and final audit reports; and
- Coordinated the issuance of the audit report.

We thank your staff for the assistance extended to the auditors during this audit. Please contact me at 202-884-7675 if you have any questions regarding the report.

Report on Performance Audit

of

Appalachian Regional Commission

Grant Number MD-21630-I

for the Period from February 1, 2024, to July 31, 2024

Awarded to UPMC Western Maryland Corporation

Prepared for the Appalachian Regional Commission - Office of the Inspector General

Auditee: UPMC Western Maryland Corporation

As of Date: August 7, 2025



### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Executive Summary	1
Background	3
Objective, Scope, and Methodology	3
Results	4
Attachment 1 - Grantee's Response	$\epsilon$



#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Office of Inspector General Appalachian Regional Commission 1666 Connecticut Avenue, NW; Suite 700 Washington, DC 20009

We conducted a performance audit (the audit) of grant agreement number MD-21630-I, awarded by the Appalachian Regional Commission (ARC), to UPMC Western Maryland Corporation (the Grantee); with a grant performance period of February 1, 2024, to July 31, 2024. We conducted this performance audit at the request of the ARC Office of Inspector General, to assist it in its oversight of ARC grant funds. This performance audit engagement covers the period from February 1, 2024, to July 31, 2024.

The objectives of the performance audit were to determine whether: (1) program funds were managed in accordance with ARC and Federal grant requirements; (2) grant funds were expended, as provided for in the approved grant budget; (3) internal guidelines, including program (internal) controls, were adequate and operating effectively; (4) accounting and reporting requirements were implemented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (or other applicable accounting and reporting requirements); (5) matching requirements were met; (6) the reported performance measures were fair and reasonable; and 7) if the requirements for the performance of a Single Audit were met, the Grantee conducted such an audit and appropriately addressed any noted findings and recommendations related to the management of Federal awards.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions, based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions, based on our audit objectives.

We conducted planning and fieldwork phases of the audit during the period from April 2, 2025, through July 7, 2025. We determined that UPMC Western Maryland Corporation's financial management, administrative procedures, and related internal controls, were adequate to manage ARC's grant funds. There were no findings and recommendations resulting from this performance audit engagement.

We discussed the results of this performance audit with UPMC Western Maryland Corporation's officials at the conclusion of our fieldwork. UPMC Western Maryland Corporation's response has been included as Attachment 1 to this report.

Regis & Associates, PC appreciates the cooperation and assistance received from UPMC Western Maryland Corporation's and ARC's staff during this performance audit.

Regis & Associates, PC Washington, DC

Refis + Associates, PC

August 7, 2025

#### **Background**

The Appalachian Regional Commission (ARC) is a regional economic development agency, representing a unique partnership of Federal, state, and local governments. ARC-funded programs are used to support education and job training, healthcare, water and sewer systems, housing, highway construction, and other essentials of comprehensive economic development. ARC's staff is responsible for program development; policy analysis and review; grant development; technical assistance to states; and management and oversight. ARC grants are made to a wide range of entities, including local development districts, state ARC Offices, state and local governments, educational establishments, nonprofit organizations, and for a variety of economic development projects.

On February 1, 2024, the Appalachian Regional Commission awarded Grant Number MD-21630-I, in the amount of \$63,400, to UPMC Western Maryland Corporation. As a condition of this award, the Grantee was required to contribute a matching amount of \$63,400 from Non-Federal (local)<sup>1</sup> sources. The grant's performance period was from February 1, 2024, through July 31, 2024. This performance audit engagement covers the period from February 1, 2024, to July 31, 2024.

The grant was awarded to UPMC Western Maryland Corporation, to "fund the purchase of eight new dialysis machines for the only outpatient hemodialysis unit in Allegany County and surrounding area".

#### Objective, Scope, and Methodology

#### **Objective**

The general objectives of the performance audit were to determine whether UPMC Western Maryland Corporation expended grant funds in accordance with applicable requirements; and to report any resulting findings and questioned cost relating to internal controls; program performance; and compliance with provisions of the grant agreement, laws, and regulations.

#### Scope and Methodology

The Appalachian Regional Commission, Office of Inspector General, under Order Number ARC21P050, dated February 25, 2022; engaged Regis & Associates, PC to conduct a performance audit of grant number MD-21630-I, titled "Regional Dialysis: A Requisite for a Strong Accessible Health Network"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Non-Federal sources for matching, herein refers to local matching funds provided by the UPMC Western Maryland Corporation, in the amount of \$63,400.

The budgeted amounts for the grant are presented below:

Exhibit – A: Schedule of Grant Budget

Object Class Category	]	Federal	No	n-Federal	 Total
Equipment		63,400		63,400	126,800
Total	\$	63,400	\$	63,400	\$ 126,800

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions, based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions, based on our audit objectives.

The audit was conducted, using the applicable requirements contained in Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance); the ARC Code; and the Grant Agreement.

Our audit procedures included:

- Obtaining an understanding of the Grantee's internal controls; assessing control risk; and determining the extent of testing needed, based on the control risk assessment.
- Reviewing written fiscal policies and administrative procedures for applicable grant activities.
- Assessing, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts; and the Grantee's data and records.
- Assessing the accounting principles and significant estimates made by the Grantee.
- Evaluating the overall evidence and presentation of the records.
- Assessing whether the grant's reported performance measures were fair and reasonable.
- Conducting other test procedures deemed necessary, based on our professional judgment.

#### Results

Based on the results of our testing and analysis on this performance audit, we determined that:

- 1) The grant funds were managed in accordance with ARC and Federal grant requirements.
- 2) As of July 31, 2024, the Grantee had expended \$138,041 which was \$11,241 more than the grant's budgeted amount of \$126,800.

Below, we have presented a Schedule of Claimed and Audit Recommended Cost as of July 31, 2024, which reflects the results of our audit.

Exhibit – B: Schedule of Claimed and Audit Recommended Costs As of July 31, 2024

	Claimed	d Costs Qu			Questioned Costs					Audit Recommended			
Object Class Category	Federal	No	n-Federal	Federal		Non-Federal		Federal		Non-Federal		Total	
Equipment	\$ 63,400	\$	74,641	\$	-	\$		\$	63,400	\$	74,641	\$	138,041
Total	\$ 63,400	\$	74,641	\$		\$		\$	63,400	\$	74,641	\$	138,041

- 3) Internal guidelines, including program (internal) controls, were adequate and operating effectively. The Grantee had written policies and procedures for applicable grant activities, which we considered adequate for administering the grant.
- 4) Accounting and reporting requirements were implemented, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (or other applicable accounting and reporting requirements), including ARC requirements.
- 5) We determined that, as of July 31, 2024, the Grantee and its project partners had contributed a matching amount of \$74,641, which was \$11,241 more than the required matching contribution of \$63,400. These matching funds were properly supported and allowable under both Federal and ARC requirements.
- 6) We determined that the Grantee implemented effective policies and procedures to accurately capture, record, and report grant performance measures (i.e. patients served, and patients improved.) Based on our review of the Grantee's procedures, the performance results reported to ARC were fair and reasonable.
- 7) We verified that the Grantee did not meet the requirements for the performance of a Single Audit; and thus, was not subject to the Single Audit requirements under the Uniform Guidance.

#### **Attachment 1: Grantee's Response**

**UPMC** Western Maryland

12400 Willowbrook Road Cumberland, MD 21502 240-964-7000

August 7, 2025

Peter Regis, CPA Regis & Associates, PC 1420 K Street, NW Suite 910 Washington. DC 20005

Subject: Performance Audit of Grant Agreement Number MD 21630-I

We are providing this letter in connection with the subject audit performed by Regis & Associates, PC on behalf of the Appalachian Regional Commission (ARC).

I have reviewed and concur with the audit result. On behalf of Regional Dialysis: A Requisite for a Strong Accessible Health Network Project, it was a pleasure working with you and your team and we look forward to working with you in the future.

Sincerely,

Karen Johnson

President, WMHS Foundation

Serving UPMC Western Maryland