Department of Health and Human Services

## OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

# HIGHLANDS OF LITTLE ROCK WEST MARKHAM HOLDINGS, LLC: AUDIT OF DOCUMENTATION OF THERAPY RESOURCE UTILIZATION GROUPS

Inquiries about this report may be addressed to the Office of Public Affairs at <u>Public.Affairs@oig.hhs.gov</u>.



Gloria L. Jarmon Deputy Inspector General for Audit Services

> November 2019 A-06-18-08003

## Office of Inspector General

https://oig.hhs.gov

The mission of the Office of Inspector General (OIG), as mandated by Public Law 95-452, as amended, is to protect the integrity of the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) programs, as well as the health and welfare of beneficiaries served by those programs. This statutory mission is carried out through a nationwide network of audits, investigations, and inspections conducted by the following operating components:

#### Office of Audit Services

The Office of Audit Services (OAS) provides auditing services for HHS, either by conducting audits with its own audit resources or by overseeing audit work done by others. Audits examine the performance of HHS programs and/or its grantees and contractors in carrying out their respective responsibilities and are intended to provide independent assessments of HHS programs and operations. These assessments help reduce waste, abuse, and mismanagement and promote economy and efficiency throughout HHS.

#### Office of Evaluation and Inspections

The Office of Evaluation and Inspections (OEI) conducts national evaluations to provide HHS, Congress, and the public with timely, useful, and reliable information on significant issues. These evaluations focus on preventing fraud, waste, or abuse and promoting economy, efficiency, and effectiveness of departmental programs. To promote impact, OEI reports also present practical recommendations for improving program operations.

#### Office of Investigations

The Office of Investigations (OI) conducts criminal, civil, and administrative investigations of fraud and misconduct related to HHS programs, operations, and beneficiaries. With investigators working in all 50 States and the District of Columbia, OI utilizes its resources by actively coordinating with the Department of Justice and other Federal, State, and local law enforcement authorities. The investigative efforts of OI often lead to criminal convictions, administrative sanctions, and/or civil monetary penalties.

#### Office of Counsel to the Inspector General

The Office of Counsel to the Inspector General (OCIG) provides general legal services to OIG, rendering advice and opinions on HHS programs and operations and providing all legal support for OIG's internal operations. OCIG represents OIG in all civil and administrative fraud and abuse cases involving HHS programs, including False Claims Act, program exclusion, and civil monetary penalty cases. In connection with these cases, OCIG also negotiates and monitors corporate integrity agreements. OCIG renders advisory opinions, issues compliance program guidance, publishes fraud alerts, and provides other guidance to the health care industry concerning the anti-kickback statute and other OIG enforcement authorities.

## Notices

### THIS REPORT IS AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC

at https://oig.hhs.gov

Section 8M of the Inspector General Act, 5 U.S.C. App., requires that OIG post its publicly available reports on the OIG website.

### **OFFICE OF AUDIT SERVICES FINDINGS AND OPINIONS**

The designation of financial or management practices as questionable, a recommendation for the disallowance of costs incurred or claimed, and any other conclusions and recommendations in this report represent the findings and opinions of OAS. Authorized officials of the HHS operating divisions will make final determination on these matters.

### **Report in Brief**

Date: November 2019 Report No. A-06-18-08003

**OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL** 



Skilled Nursing Facility (SNF) claims include Resource Utilization Groups (RUGs) that identify whether a beneficiary received therapy and the range of therapy minutes provided. For example, SNF claims with a RUG that begins with "RU" or "RV" indicate that an ultra high or very high level of therapy was provided and that during a 7-day period, the beneficiary received 720 minutes or more, or 500 to 719 minutes of therapy, respectively. The higher the volume of therapy services provided, the higher the Medicare payment.

Our previous work found that SNFs billed for higher levels of therapy RUGs than were supported.

Our objective was to determine whether the therapy minutes associated with Highlands of Little Rock West Markham Holdings, LLC's claims containing ultra high or very high therapy RUGs were properly supported.

#### How OIG Did This Audit

Our audit covered \$2.5 million in Medicare payments for 363 SNF claims for services provided from October 1, 2016, through September 30, 2017, at Highlands. We selected for review a stratified random sample of 100 SNF claims with payments totaling \$882,159.

### Highlands of Little Rock West Markham Holdings, LLC: Audit of Documentation of Therapy Resource Utilization Groups

#### What OIG Found

Highlands did not properly support all therapy minutes because it inappropriately included unskilled time for electrical simulation therapy for 14 of the sample claims. The errors occurred because the SNF staff did not understand that unskilled time should not be included in the Minimum Data Set (MDS) minutes. As a result, the SNF was overpaid \$17,430 for the sample claims. Based on the sample results, we estimate the SNF was overpaid \$25,494 during our audit period.

#### What OIG Recommends and Highlands' Comments

We recommend Highlands:

- refund the \$25,494 in questioned costs, and
- educate staff to only include skilled minutes for MDS purposes.

Highlands declined to comment on the draft report.

INTRODUCTION
Why We Did This Audit1
Objective1
Background1
Medicare Part A1
Skilled Nursing Facility Services1
How We Conducted This Audit2
FINDING
RECOMMENDATIONS
HIGHLANDS' COMMENTS
APPENDICES
A: Audit Scope and Methodology4
B: Statistical Sampling Methodology5
C: Sample Results and Estimates7

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

#### INTRODUCTION

#### WHY WE DID THIS AUDIT

A skilled nursing facility (SNF) is an institution that provides skilled nursing care; rehabilitation services, such as physical, occupational, or speech therapy; and other services, such as assistance with eating, bathing, and toileting to beneficiaries who need skilled assistance after a hospitalization. SNF claims include Resource Utilization Groups (RUGs) that identify whether a beneficiary received therapy and the range of therapy minutes provided. For example, SNF claims with a RUG that begins with "RU" or "RV" indicate that an ultra high or very high level of therapy was provided and that during a 7-day period, the beneficiary received 720 minutes or more, or 500 to 719 minutes of therapy, respectively. The higher the volume of therapy services provided, the higher the Medicare payment.

Previous Office of Inspector General (OIG) work found that SNFs billed for higher levels of therapy RUGs than were supported. We selected for review the SNF at Highlands of Little Rock West Markham (Highlands) in Little Rock, Arkansas, because during a 1-year period, 92 percent of its RUGs were for ultra high or very high therapy, and the therapy minutes for 89 percent of those RUGs were within 10 minutes of the minimum number of minutes required to bill for ultra high or very high therapy.

#### OBJECTIVE

Our objective was to determine whether the therapy minutes associated with Highlands' claims containing ultra high or very high therapy RUGs were properly supported.

#### BACKGROUND

#### **Medicare Part A**

Medicare provides health insurance for people aged 65 and over, people with disabilities, and people with permanent kidney disease. Medicare Part A provides inpatient hospital insurance benefits and coverage for extended care services, such as SNF services, for beneficiaries after discharge.

#### **Skilled Nursing Facility Services**

SNF services must be (1) ordered by a physician and provided by, or under the supervision of, skilled nursing or rehabilitation professionals, and (2) be for a condition previously treated during an inpatient hospital stay. The beneficiary must require skilled nursing or skilled rehabilitation services, or both, on a daily basis. Daily skilled services must be services that, as a practical matter, can only be provided in a SNF, on an inpatient basis (42 CFR § 409.31). Daily skilled services include physical, occupational, and speech therapy.

SNF personnel record a beneficiary's functional status and therapy services provided, if any, during 7-day assessment periods using a data collection tool called the Minimum Data Set (MDS) to classify Medicare beneficiaries into RUGs. The therapy minutes reported in MDS are a contributing factor in determining the RUGs for billing purposes. According to the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) guidance:

Only skilled therapy time (i.e., requires the skills, knowledge and judgment of a qualified therapist and all the requirements for skilled therapy are met . . .) shall be recorded on the MDS. In some instances, the time a resident receives certain modalities is partly skilled and partly unskilled time; only the time that is skilled may be recorded on the MDS. For example, a resident is receiving TENS (transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation) for pain management. The portion of the treatment that is skilled, such as proper electrode placement, establishing proper pulse frequency and duration, and determining appropriate stimulation mode, shall be recorded on the MDS.<sup>[1]</sup>

Payment varies based on the actual therapy minutes received by the beneficiary and reported on the MDS.

Therapy Level	Therapy Minutes Received During the 7-day Period
Ultra High	720+
Very High	500–719
High	325–499
Medium	150–324
Low	45–149

#### Table 1: Therapy Categories and Number of Therapy Minutes

#### HOW WE CONDUCTED THIS AUDIT

Our audit covered \$2,542,216 in Medicare payments for 363 SNF claims for services provided from October 1, 2016, through September 30, 2017, at Highlands. We selected for review a stratified random sample of 100 SNF claims with payments totaling \$882,159. We utilized a medical review contractor to review each 7-day assessment period associated with our sample of 100 claims to ensure minutes were supported in the records.

We did not review the overall internal control structure at Highlands. Rather, we limited our review of internal controls to those applicable to ensuring SNF therapy claims were supported.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> CMS Long-Term Care Facility Resident Assessment Instrument 3.0 User's Manual, Versions 1.13 (October 2015) and 1.14 (October 2016), chapter 3, page O-27.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

Appendix A contains the details of our audit scope and methodology, Appendix B contains the details of our statistical sampling methodology, and Appendix C contains the sampling results and estimates.

#### FINDING

Only time that is skilled shall be recorded on the MDS. Therapist time during a portion of a treatment that is unskilled may not be included.<sup>2</sup>

Highlands did not properly support all therapy minutes because it inappropriately included unskilled time for electrical stimulation therapy for 14 of the sampled claims. The errors occurred because the SNF staff did not understand that unskilled time should not be included in the MDS minutes. As a result, the SNF was overpaid \$17,430 for the sampled claims. Based on the sample results, we estimate the SNF was overpaid at least \$25,494 during our audit period.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

We recommend that the skilled nursing facility at Highlands of Little Rock West Markham:

- refund the \$25,494 in questioned costs, and
- educate staff to only include skilled minutes for MDS purposes.

#### HIGHLANDS' COMMENTS

Highlands declined to comment on the draft report.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> CMS Long-Term Care Facility Resident Assessment Instrument 3.0 User's Manual, Versions 1.13 and 1.14, chapter 3, page O-19.

#### APPENDIX A: AUDIT SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY

#### SCOPE

Our audit covered \$2,542,216 in Medicare payments for 363 SNF claims for services provided from October 1, 2016, through September 30, 2017, at Highlands. We selected for review a stratified random sample of 100 SNF claims with payments totaling \$882,159. We utilized a medical review contractor to review each 7-day assessment period associated with our sample of 100 claims to ensure minutes were supported in the records.

We did not review the overall internal control structure of Highlands. Rather, we limited our review of internal controls to those applicable to ensuring SNF therapy claims were supported.

#### METHODOLOGY

To accomplish our objective, we:

- reviewed applicable Federal laws, regulations, and CMS guidance;
- held discussions with SNF officials to gain an understanding of therapy services, medical documentation, and billing;
- reviewed the SNF's internal controls related to therapy claims;
- obtained paid SNF claims and MDS therapy minutes for the audit period;
- selected for review a stratified random sample of 100 SNF claims;
- obtained and compiled medical records necessary to support each sampled claim;
- engaged a medical review contractor to review the medical records;
- repriced claims that contained unsupported minutes using CMS's SNF Pricer Program;
- estimated the dollar value of overpayments based on our sample results; and
- held discussions with CMS and discussed the results of our audit with SNF officials.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

#### APPENDIX B: STATISTICAL SAMPLING METHODOLOGY

#### TARGET POPULATION

The target population consisted of paid SNF claims for this facility, with dates of service between October 1, 2016, and September 30, 2017, where each claim had an ultra high or very high rehabilitation RUG and covered at least 8 days of services.

#### SAMPLING FRAME

We obtained a data file that contained 392 paid SNF claims. We removed claims that contained non-covered and leave of absence days, did not have at least one ultra high or very high RUG, and were identified as being reviewed by the Recovery Audit Contractor. The resulting sampling frame contained 363 claims totaling \$2,542,216.

#### SAMPLE UNIT

The sample unit was a paid claim.

#### SAMPLE DESIGN AND SAMPLE SIZE

We selected a stratified random sample of 100 claims from three strata described in Table 2.

	Strata Bounds	Number of	
Stratum	(Claim Payments)	Paid Claims	Value
1	> \$358 and < \$6,982	197	\$761,595.30
2	>= \$6,982 and < \$10,817	105	927,628.51
3	>= \$10,817 and < \$33,421	61	852,992.07
Total		363	\$2,542,215.88

#### **Table 2: Stratified Random Sample**

We selected 34 claims from Stratum 1, 33 claims from Stratum 2, and 33 claims from Stratum 3.

#### SOURCE OF RANDOM NUMBERS

The random numbers were generated using the OIG-OAS statistical software.

#### METHOD OF SELECTING SAMPLE ITEMS

We consecutively numbered the claims within each stratum. After generating the random numbers for each stratum, we selected the corresponding sample units.

#### **ESTIMATION METHODOLOGY**

We used the OIG-OAS statistical software to estimate the dollar value of overpayments. We also used this program to calculate the lower limit of the 90-percent confidence level.

#### **APPENDIX C: SAMPLE RESULTS AND ESTIMATES**

#### SAMPLE RESULTS

	Frame				Number of	Values of
	Size		Sample	Sample	<b>Claims with</b>	Overpayments
Stratum	(Claims)	Frame Value	Size	Value	Overpayments	in Sample
1	197	\$761,595.30	34	\$116,635.23	3	\$749.52
2	105	927,629.51	33	297,801.58	5	3,911.91
3	61	852,992.07	33	467,722.61	6	12,768.56
Totals	363	\$2,542,215.88	100	\$882,159.42	14	\$17,429.99

#### **ESTIMATES**

## Estimated Value of Unsupported Claim Amounts (Limits Calculated for 90-Percent Confidence Interval)

Point estimate	\$40,392
Lower limit	\$25,494
Upper limit	\$55,291