Review of U.S.
Immigration and Customs
Enforcement's Fiscal Year
2018 Drug Control
Performance Summary
Report



DHS OIG HIGHLIGHTS

Review of U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement's Fiscal Year 2018 Drug Control Performance Summary Report

March 8, 2019

Why We Did This Review

The Office of National Drug Control Policy's (ONDCP) Circular, Accounting of Drug Control Funding and Performance Summary, requires each National Drug Control Program agency to submit to ONDCP Director a detailed accounting of all funds expended for National Drug Control Program activities during the previous fiscal year (FY).

The Office of Inspector General (OIG) is required to conduct a review of the report and provide a conclusion about the reliability of each assertion made in the report.

For Further Information:

Contact our Office of Public Affairs at (202) 981-6000, or email us at DHS-OIG.OfficePublicAffairs@oig.dhs.gov

What We Found

Williams, Adley & Company –DC, LLP (Williams Adley), under contract with the Department of Homeland Security OIG, issued an Independent Accountant's Report on U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement's (ICE) FY 2018 Drug Control Performance Summary Report (PSR). ICE's management prepared the Performance Summary Report and the related disclosures in accordance with the requirements of ONDCP Circular, Accounting of Drug Control Funding and Performance Summary, dated May 8, 2018 (the Circular). Williams Adley was unable to assess the accuracy of the number of products reported in Metric 2, "Number of counter-narcotics intelligence requests satisfied," as part of the PSR. With the exception of the effects of this issue, Williams Adley is not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the PSR or related assertions for the year ended September 30, 2018. in order for them to be in accordance with the requirements set forth in the Circular. Williams Adley did not make any recommendations as a result of its review.

www.oig.dhs.gov OIG-19-30



OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

Department of Homeland Security

Washington, DC 20528 / www.oig.dhs.gov

March 8, 2019

MEMORANDUM FOR: Stephen Roncone

Chief Financial Officer

U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement

FROM: Sondra F. McCauley

Assistant Inspector General for Audits

SUBJECT: Review of U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement's

Fiscal Year 2018 Drug Control Performance Summary

Report

Attached for your information is our final report, *Review of U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement's Fiscal Year 2018 Drug Control Performance Summary Report.* U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement's (ICE) management prepared the Performance Summary Report and the related disclosures in accordance with the requirements of the Office of National Drug Control Policy's Circular, *Accounting of Drug Control Funding and Performance Summary*, dated May 8, 2018.

We contracted with the independent public accounting firm Williams, Adley & Company –DC, LLP (Williams Adley) to review ICE's Drug Control Performance Summary Report. Williams Adley is responsible for the attached Independent Accountant's Report, dated February 19, 2019, and the conclusions expressed in it. This report contains no recommendations.

Consistent with our responsibility under the *Inspector General Act*, we will provide copies of our report to congressional committees with oversight and appropriation responsibility over the Department of Homeland Security. We will post the report on our website for public dissemination.

Please call me with any questions at (202) 981-6000, or your staff may contact Maureen Duddy, Deputy Assistant Inspector General for Audits, at (617) 565-8723.

Attachment



Independent Accountant's Report

Acting Inspector General United States Department of Homeland Security

We have reviewed management's assertions related to the Performance Summary Report (PSR) of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security's (DHS) U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) for the year ended September 30, 2018. ICE management is responsible for the preparation of the PSR in accordance with requirements of the Office of National Drug Control Policy Circular: *Accounting of Drug Control Funding and Performance Summary*, dated May 8, 2018 (the Circular). Our responsibility is to express a conclusion on the PSR and management's assertions based on our review.

Our review was conducted in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards, which incorporate the attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Those standards require that we plan and perform the review to obtain limited assurance about whether any material modifications should be made to the PSR or PSR assertions in order for them to be in accordance with the Circular. A review is substantially less in scope than an examination, the objective of which is to obtain reasonable assurance about whether ICE's PSR and PSR assertions are in accordance with the Circular, in all material respects, in order to express an opinion. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. We believe that our review provides a reasonable basis for our conclusion.

Based on our review of actual performance results for the Analytical Framework for Intelligence drug related products, we were unable to assess the accuracy of the number of products reported in Metric 2 "Number of counter-narcotics intelligence requests satisfied" as part of the PSR.

Based on our review, except for the effects of the matter described above, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the PSR or the PSR assertions for the year ended September 30, 2018, in order for them to be in accordance with the requirements set forth in the Circular.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of ICE, and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than the specified parties.

Williams, Adley & Company-DZ, LLP Washington, D.C.

U.S. Department of Homeland Security 500 12th Street, SW Washington, D.C. 20536



February 28, 2019

Mr. John Kelly
Deputy Inspector General
U.S. Department of Homeland Security
Office of Inspector General

Dear Mr. Kelly,

In accordance with the Office of National Drug Control Policy Circular: *Accounting of Drug Control Funding and Performance Summary*, dated May 8, 2018, enclosed is Immigration and Customs Enforcement's report of FY 2018 drug performance metrics and targets.

If you require further assistance on this information, please contact me at (202) 732-4361.

Sincerely,

Chris Maiwurm, Unit Chief

Office of Budget and Program Performance

U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement

U.S. Department of Homeland Security U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement

Performance Summary Report of Drug Control Funds during Fiscal Year (FY) 2018

International Operations (IO)

Metric 1: Percentage of overseas investigative hours incurred on drug-related cases.

(1) Description

The performance metric for IO is the percentage of overseas investigative hours incurred on drugrelated cases. This metric evaluates the percentage of Immigration and Customs Enforcement's (ICE) total overseas investigations that impact counter-narcotics enforcement.

ICE's Homeland Security Investigations (HSI) IO supports U.S. drug control policy, specifically Office of National Drug Control Policy (ONDCP) initiatives, such as 'Disrupt domestic drug trafficking and production' and 'Strengthen Law-Enforcement and International Partnerships to Reduce the Availability of Foreign-Produced Drugs in the United States', by supporting the overall ICE mandate to detect, disrupt, and dismantle smuggling organizations. The desired outcomes for the execution of Department of Homeland Security's (DHS) action items are: disruption of domestic drug trafficking and production; and strengthening of international partnerships and reduction in the availability of foreign-produced drugs in the United States. Increased hours incurred on drug-related cases directly lead to increased detection, disruption and dismantlement of drug smuggling organizations. IO investigative resources are directed at organizations smuggling contraband (including narcotics) into the United States. The IO offices coordinate international investigation with foreign law enforcement counterparts and provide investigative support to HSI domestic offices in combatting transnational criminal operations and organizations. IO also partners with domestic ICE components and with U.S. law enforcement agencies overseas to leverage overseas resources, mitigating global narcotics threats to the United States. This includes utilizing investigative and intelligence techniques to support domestic cases and interagency cross-border initiatives.

This counter-narcotics performance metric is evaluated on a consistent basis for IO. In some cases, it is included in Senior Executive Service (SES) performance plans, and may be tracked at a high managerial level by way of processes such as HSI Transparency/Results/Accountability/Knowledge-sharing (HSI TRAK), programmatic monitoring, financial monitoring, and quarterly expenditure reports.

(2) Prior Years' Performance Targets and Results

Fiscal Year	Target	Year End Actual
2014	6.90%	8.32%
2015	7.58%	7.56%
2016	8.00%	7.50%
2017	8.00%	7.40%
2018	7.86%	9.82%

For FY 2018, the IO actual percentage was 9.82 percent, therefore meeting the FY 2018 target of 7.86 percent. To calculate the dollar amount of obligations for the IO drug control function, the percentage is applied to the Continuing Resolution (through March 23, 2018) IO budget, excluding reimbursable authority.

(3) Performance Target for FY 2019

The performance target for FY 2019 is 7.95 percent, a target based on the average three prior years' performance targets per prior year's methodology. HSI notes the drug enforcement environment can change significantly in a short period of time due to changes in drug enforcement strategy, including legislation. Thus, incorporating historical data beyond the prior three years would result in a less realistic performance target. In establishing this performance metric, IO plans to have sufficient resources to support the same level of effort on drug-related investigations.

(4) Quality of Performance Data

The database used to obtain HSI IO's performance data is the ICE Investigative Case Management System (ICM), which is ICE's automated case management system that records investigative hours. IO relies on ICM to ensure the performance data is accurate, complete, and unbiased in presentation and substance. ICE also conducts quality control verification on all data received through ICM to ensure performance data is accurate, complete, and unbiased in presentation and substance. HSI has transitioned into the new web-based system (ICM) from Legacy Treasury Enforcement Communication System (TECS), which had created interface migration issues. The first release took place in the summer of 2016, when nearly 11,000 HSI users were migrated over to ICM. As a result, some of the data used in this metric of the report has been impacted by an immaterial amount.

Intelligence

Metric 2: Number of counter-narcotics intelligence requests satisfied.

(1) Description

This performance metric is calculated by the sum of the amount of Intelligence Information Reports (IIR) and Analytical Framework for Intelligence (AFI) products.

An IIR is a formal standardized method of disseminating raw unevaluated information, on behalf of the DHS Intelligence Enterprise (IE) and other information providers, to elements of the Intelligence Community (IC) and the DHS IE, as appropriate. This is the primary vehicle through which the Reports Section shares this raw intelligence within ICE and throughout the DHS and the IC.

The AFI allows HSI Office of Intelligence (HSI-Intel) to maintain visibility on all Intelligence products used by the HSI field offices and at HQ. This system allows HSI-Intel to run searches on specific mission areas, which include counter-narcotics and drug smuggling Intel-related products.

HSI-Intel supports its HSI Special Agents, Intelligence Analysts, Mission Support Specialists and all other personnel who support intelligence operations and the IC by providing AFI and IIR products and services that inform customers and close existing "intelligence gaps." Customer requirements are formally documented and captured within the Analytical Framework for Intelligence (AFI). Published Intelligence products, known as AFI products, are a detailed written analyses on intelligence developments that can be shared with the IC. Levied requirements are then determined either "satisfied" by Intelligence, or not. In the latter case, an intelligence gap remains. Satisfaction of customer requirements represents the "outcome" of Intelligence production in those satisfying customer requirements, closes the gap in customer information needs, and allows customers to make informed decisions about executing law enforcement actions.

This counter-narcotics performance metric is evaluated on a consistent basis for Intelligence and is in some cases put into SES performance plans. It is also tracked at a high managerial level via processes, such as HSI TRAK, programmatic monitoring, financial monitoring, and quarterly expenditure reports.

(2) Actual Performance Results for FY 2018

Fiscal Year	Target	Year End Actual
2014	656	686
2015	686	431
2016	431	275
2017	275	312
2018	312	283

In FY 2018, HSI-Intel produced a total of 283 drug-related products, therefore not meeting the FY 2018 target of 312. Of the 283 drug-related products, a total of one hundred thirteen (113) were AFI products and one hundred and seventy (170) were IRR products. Target numbers are based on the previous year's actual data, and changing mission priorities have contributed to the decline in AFI and IIR drug-related products.

(3) Performance Target for FY 2019

The performance target for FY 2019 is 283 counter-narcotics intelligence requests satisfied. The target is based on the prior year actual data. Actual production efforts, whether for finished intelligence or IIRs, are driven by resources and prioritization. Current departmental and ICE priorities are counterterrorism, counterintelligence, human smuggling and cybercrime. The production of drug-related intelligence has declined since FY 2014 in counter-narcotics and may continue to decline pending the department's priorities. However, ICE senior leadership has determined that using the FY 2018 actual is reasonable, as it represents the current organizational priorities.

(4) Quality of Performance Data

Databases used to validate HSI-Intel's performance data are the AFI and the HSI-Intel's shared drive hosting the IIRs. AFI is a generation analytical system that is separately operated by customers. The capabilities of AFI are used to gather analysis that is critical to the IC through collaborative reporting. Intelligence conducts quality control verification on the AFI data and the shared drive hosting the IIRs to ensure the performance data is accurate and unbiased in presentation and substance. Furthermore, ICE HSI-Intel is implementing metadata items within AFI that will group data distinctly into either HSI Domestic or HSI International, increasing the specificity of the data provided.

Domestic Investigations

Metric 3: Percentage of significant high-risk transnational criminal investigations that result in a disruption or dismantlement

(1) Description

ICE coordinated with the ONDCP and established new performance metrics in FY 2012 to better indicate the success of counter-narcotics enforcement across all investigative areas. This metric supports the National Counter Narcotics Strategy objectives and initiatives to disrupt and dismantle transnational and domestic drug-trafficking and money-laundering organizations. The new performance metric is "the percentage of significant, high risk transnational criminal investigations that result in a disruption or dismantlement."

This metric is incumbent on all investigative case categories and is not restricted to HSI counternarcotic cases, contrasting from metric 4 (that is strictly involving ICM Categories directly related to drug activities/investigations). This measure is a precise result of all investigative cases in the seven ICM Categories: 1) Illicit Trade, Travel and Finance (non-drug-related), 2) Illicit Trade, Travel, and Finance (drug related), 3) Counter-proliferation, 4) National Security, 5) Transnational Gangs, 6)

Transnational Crimes Against Children, and 7) Worksite Enforcement. These measures articulate the impact of HSI investigations and their final outcomes by demonstrating the impediment of crimes directly. This allows HSI to identify, categorize, and report significant investigations that target the greatest threats faced in the nation, while demonstrating HSI's commitment to disrupt and dismantle criminal activity.

The Significant Case Review (SCR) process and their subsequent performance measures exhibit how HSI enhances national security and public safety by focusing on these high-risk priority investigations. In an effort to ensure long-standing viability, HSI special agents submit enforcement actions that meet the definition of either a disruption or dismantlement that involve criminal investigations of cases deemed significant or high-risk based on a pre-defined set of criteria reviewed by the SCR panel. The SCR panel reviews enforcement actions and examines each submission of the criminal investigative elements that are being presented to ensure the submission meets the requirement of a disruption or dismantlement. A disruption is defined as actions taken in furtherance of the investigation that impede the normal and effective operation of the target organization or targeted criminal activity. Dismantlement is defined as destroying the target organization's leadership, network, and financial base so the organization is incapable of reconstituting itself.

The performance measures for HSI for FY 2018 were calculated using actual historical significant investigation performance results since program inception (FY 2011) using the following methodology:

1) The final calculation is derived by: Number of Unique SCRs with Type 2 and/or Type 3 Reports during the Reporting Period divided by the (Number of Approved and Open Type 1 SCRs at the Beginning of the Reporting Period + Number of Type 1 Reports Opened and Approved During the Reporting Period)¹

(2) Actual Performance Results for FY 2018²

Fiscal Year	Target	Year End Actual
2014	19.00%	42.24%
2015	18.00%	15.83%
2016	16.00%	18.57%
2017	15.80%	22.91%
2018	15.90%	18.04%

In FY 2018, the actual percentage for the reportable Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) metric is 18.04 percent; therefore, HSI has met the FY 2018 GPRA target of 15.90 percent.

¹ Type 1 (Significant) – Is the initial Significant Case Report (SCR).

Type 2 (Disruption) - SCR that indicates changes in organizational leadership or changes in methods of operation of the target organization.

Type 3 (Dismantlement) - SCR which indicates that the target organization's leadership, network, and financial base are incapable of reconstituting itself.

² HSI discovered a coding error at the beginning of FY 2015 within the information system that pulls data from TECs. This forced a revalidation of data and a rethinking of how the data is pulled and verified. HSI has since used a corrected data coding and validation for FY 2015. HSI re-examined previous years' data using the new coding and methodology and those actual results are: FY13 16.28%, FY14 47.16%. The prior year actual results in the table have not been modified, so as to remain comparable to previously issued reports.

(3) Performance Target for FY 2019

The performance target for FY 2019 is 16.00 percent. Planning for responses to future criminal trends, while critical in assessing risk and threats, is difficult due to the inherent challenge of predicting future criminal activity. This makes the establishment of performance targets for enforcement statistics extremely difficult. Due to the high number of unknown variables, the FY 2019 performance target was created using historical trends, future operational assumptions, attrition, national security special event details and change in administrations.

(4) Quality of Performance Data

The database used to validate Domestic Investigations performance data is ICM. Domestic Investigations relies on ICM to ensure the performance data are accurate, complete, and unbiased in presentation and substance. ICE also conducts quality control verification on all data received through ICM to ensure performance data is accurate, complete, and unbiased in presentation and substance.

Due to the migration noted in Metric 1 Section 4, some of the data used in this metric of the report has been impacted by an immaterial amount.

Domestic Investigations

Metric 4: Percent of significant high risk drug related illicit trade and illicit travel and finance investigations that result in a disruption or dismantlement

(1) Description

ICE coordinated with ONDCP and established performance metrics in FY 2012 to better indicate the success of counter-narcotics enforcement across all investigative areas. This is aligned with the 2012-2016 HSI Strategic Plan, Goal: *Protect the Homeland Against Illicit Trade, Travel and Finance, Objective 2.4: Targeting Drug Trafficking Organizations.* The methodology used to calculate this measure remains consistent with the prior year. ICE supported ONDCP initiatives that include the National Counter Narcotics Strategy objectives, such as disrupting and dismantling transnational and domestic drug-trafficking and money-laundering organizations. The performance metric is "the percentage of significant high risk drug related illicit trade and illicit travel and finance investigations that result in a disruption or dismantlement." Agents submit enforcement actions that meet the definition of either a disruption or dismantlement, which are cases deemed high-impact or high-risk based on a pre-defined set of criteria and are reviewed by an SCR panel. The SCR panel reviews enforcement actions and examines each submission to ensure it meets the requirement of a disruption or dismantlement.

While Metric 3 focuses on all seven types of ICM criminal investigations, this metric specifically relates to illicit trade, travel, and finance in investigations explicit to investigations in transnational criminal enterprises that focus on schemes involving import and/or export or other trade, travel, finance, or immigration violations. These investigations include HSI investigational actions directly

related to the disruption and/or dismantlement of Consolidated Priority Organization Targets and Regional Priority Organization Targets in accordance with targets designated by the Organized Crime Drug Enforcement Task Force. Percentages are calculated by dividing drug-related enforcement actions (deemed a disruption or dismantlement) by the total number of enforcement actions within the domestic program.

(2) Actual Performance Results for FY 2018³

Fiscal Year	Target	Year End Actual
2014	44.00%	45.00%
2015	29.00%	14.51%
2016	15.00%	9.55%
2017	15.10%	19.03%
2018	15.20%	9.91%

In FY 2018, 9.91 percent of significant high-risk counter-narcotic, illicit trade, travel and finance investigations resulted in a disruption or dismantlement. Therefore, HSI has not met the FY 2018 target of 15.20 percent. This is likely due to the relatively late implementation of a new opioid/fentanyl significant case category under the drug-related illicit trade, travel, and finance rubric. HSI prioritized opioid/fentanyl investigations in FY 2018, but a commensurate opioid/fentanyl significant case category was not added until the 3rd quarter. However, HSI bolstered its resources to address the increasing opioid epidemic. In FY 2018, HSI's fentanyl cases initiated increased by 65%, and there was also an increase in fentanyl related arrests of 49.6%. In addition, HSI's Border Enforcement Security Task Forces have also increased task force staffing levels during this fiscal year, enhancing their collaboration with law enforcement partners in combatting opioid threats. HSI is spearheading the Opioid Fentanyl Collaboration Group, aimed at centralizing all actionable data in support of opioid investigations. Note that when SCRs increase, there is an expected lag in enforcement results since significant time is required to investigate and prosecute these complex cases.

(3) Performance Target for FY 2019

The performance target for FY 2019 is 15.20 percent. For those measures that did not meet their targets, an explanation with corrective action is provided. In addition, changes to measure targets from the previous year's report are identified and no modification is made for the following year. The target is based on the prior year actual data. The FY 2019 performance target was created using historical trends, future operational assumptions, attrition, and national security special event details. In addition, this target was set before FY 2019 actuals were finalized with the assumption that the patterns will continue into the near future. In establishing this metric, Domestic Investigations plans to have sufficient resources to support the same level of effort on drug related investigations into FY 2020.

³ HSI discovered a coding error at the beginning of FY 2015 within the information system that pulls data from TECs. This forced a revalidation of data and a rethinking of how the data is pulled and verified. HSI has since used a corrected data coding and validation for FY 2015. HSI re-examined previous years' data using the new coding and methodology and those actual results are: FY13 16.28%, FY14 47.16%. The prior year actual results in the table have not been modified, so as to remain comparable to previously issued reports.

(4) Quality of Performance Data

The database used to validate Domestic Investigations performance data is ICM. As stated previously, Domestic Investigations relies on ICM to ensure the performance data are accurate, complete, and unbiased in presentation and substance. ICE also conducts quality control verification on all data received through ICM to ensure performance data is accurate, complete, and unbiased in presentation and substance.

Due to the migration noted in Metric 1 Section 4, some of the data used in this metric of the report has been impacted by an immaterial amount.

ICE Management Assertion Report

- 1. Performance reporting system is appropriate and applied -- ICE uses ICM, IIR and AFI investigative and intelligence case tracking systems of record to capture performance information. ICM, IIR and AFI data is well-documented, accurately maintained, and reliable, and those systems were properly applied to generate the most recent performance data available for the FY 2018 performance period.
- 2. Explanations for not meeting performance targets are reasonable -- In FY 2018, ICE actuals were not met for two of its four performance targets. The explanations offered for failing to meet these targets are reasonable.
- 3. Methodology to establish performance targets is reasonable and consistently applied -- The methodology described in Section 1 of each metric to establish the performance targets is reasonable and was consistently applied for each metric, given past performance and available resources.
- 4. Adequate performance metrics exist for all significant drug control activities -- ICE has established more than one acceptable performance metric for its Drug Control Decision Unit-Salaries and Expense. These measures were developed in consideration and support of the ONDCP National Counter Narcotics Strategy, as well as DHS and ICE Strategic plan objectives and initiatives. In reference to Office of Inspector General Report 17-09, DHS Drug Interdiction Efforts Need Improvement, the performance measures for International Operations and Intelligence are not adequate, as they are process-based rather than outcome-based measures. ICE is continuing to work with the DHS to develop adequate outcome-based measures for these activities in FY 2019. HSI currently utilizes the SCR process to report its impact on the mission. The current measures reflect those of HSI domestic investigations but currently do not identify, categorize, and report international operations. To more accurately reflect current international operations HSI is looking to align future metrics for SCR investigations at the Attaché offices. HSI Intelligence is developing a Intel-specific Workload Staffing Model, in collaboration with Intel HQ and Field Point of Contacts, HSI has begun to improve Intel's workload data through ICM data entry policy clarifications for Intel Research Specialists (IRS). This effort has led to the development of the Intel Work Load Staffing

Model which provides HSI leadership with a data-driven justification for Intel IRS shortfalls, as well as an ability to track metrics that show Intel's impact on Domestic Operations. The two key metrics identified show Intel's impact on the likelihood of Domestic Operations performing at least one criminal arrest or indictment on both standard cases and SCR cases.

Exhibit 1: Additional Drug Enforcement Statistics

Domestic Investigations keeps track of additional statistics to monitor their drug enforcement efforts. Domestic Investigations does not set targets for seizures and only provides year-end data. Note: "high impact" as discussed in Statistics 3 through 6 is defined as the weight limit for a seizure that would constitute a federal drug identification number from the El Paso Intelligence Center.

Statistic 1: Dollar value of real or other property seizures derived from/and/or used in drug operations.

FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual
\$36.6 M	\$40.2 M	\$39.0 M	\$254.1 M

Statistic 2: Dollar value of seized currency and monetary instruments from drug operations.

FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual
\$154.0 M	\$140.9 M	\$434.6 M	\$688.8 M

Statistic 3: Percentage of total cocaine seizures considered high impact.

FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual
46%	49%	53%	50%

<u>Statistic 4</u>: Percentage of total fentanyl seizures considered high impact.

FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual
Measure	Measure	Measure	
did not	did not	did not	90%
exist in	exist in	exist in	90 /0
FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	

Statistic 5: Percentage of heroin seizures considered high impact.

FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual
47%	45%	43%	49%

<u>Statistic 6</u>: Percentage of marijuana seizures considered high impact.

FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual
36%	38%	33%	22%

Statistic 7: Percentage of methamphetamine seizures considered high impact.

FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual
63%	62%	66%	69%



OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

Department of Homeland Security

Appendix A Report Distribution

Department of Homeland Security

Secretary
Deputy Secretary
Chief of Staff
General Counsel
Executive Secretary
Director, GAO/OIG Liaison Office
Assistant Secretary for Office of Policy
Assistant Secretary for Office of Public Affairs
Assistant Secretary for Office of Legislative Affairs

U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement

Director Chief Financial Officer Audit Liaison

Office of Management and Budget

Chief, Homeland Security Branch DHS OIG Budget Examiner

Congress

Congressional Oversight and Appropriations Committees

Office of National Drug Control Policy

Associate Director for Management and Administration

www.oig.dhs.gov OIG-19-30

Additional Information and Copies

To view this and any of our other reports, please visit our website at: www.oig.dhs.gov.

For further information or questions, please contact Office of Inspector General Public Affairs at: DHS-OIG.OfficePublicAffairs@oig.dhs.gov. Follow us on Twitter at: @dhsoig.



OIG Hotline

To report fraud, waste, or abuse, visit our website at www.oig.dhs.gov and click on the red "Hotline" tab. If you cannot access our website, call our hotline at (800) 323-8603, fax our hotline at (202) 254-4297, or write to us at:

Department of Homeland Security Office of Inspector General, Mail Stop 0305 Attention: Hotline 245 Murray Drive, SW Washington, DC 20528-0305